Division-level Committee Year-end Report and Work Plan Template

Each year, ACRL division-level committees should complete a year-end report and work plan. The **report** section should be completed by the outgoing chair. By **July 19, 2019**, the outgoing chair should post the combined template with only the 2018–19 report section completed to ALA Connect.

The **work plan** section should be completed by the incoming chair. Committee members, Board liaison, staff liaison should all review and approve the work plan. By **August 9, 2019**, the incoming chair should post the combined template with the 2018–19 report and 2019–20 work plan sections completed to ALA Connect. Projects included in a committee's work plan will be implemented September 2019 through June 2020.

Committee Name & Charge	
Committee Name:	Government Relations Committee
Charge/Tasks:	

2018–19 Leadership (terms: July 1, 2018–June 30, 2019)	
Chair:	Kevin Baggett
Vice-Chair:	Peter Kraus
Board liaison:	Leroy Lafleur
Staff liaison:	Kara Malefant
Other leaders:	

2019–20 Leadership (terms: July 1, 2019–June 30, 2020)	
Chair:	Peter Kraus
Vice-Chair:	Amy Bush
Board liaison:	
Staff liaison:	
Other leaders:	

Report & Work Plan Submission			
Year-end report written by:	Kevin Baggett	**Date:**	**Oct 1, 2019**
Work plan submitted by:		**Date:**	

2018–19 Year-end Report

This report will be included in the committee's official record of activities maintained by the ACRL staff. Brief bulleted lists are suggested for the responses.

1. What were the major projects/activities accomplished by your committee in the 2018–19 membership year (July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019)?

2019 ACRL Legislative Agenda
The Committee decided not to pursue a Library Legislative Toolkit

2. What were the relevant results for your projects?

We completed the 2019 ACRL Legislative Agenda

3. Which if any 2018–19 projects will continue next year?

2020 ACRL Legislative Agenda

4. What worked well?

Dividing up the work amongst the committee members- one committee member per issue

5. What could have worked better?

Honestly, I believe the agenda should be out before the US Congress term begins in January each year.

6. How has the work/activities of your committee demonstrated commitment to equity, diversity, and inclusion, within or beyond ACRL?

Some of the issues we covered in the Legislative Agenda touched on equity, diversity, and inclusion.

7. Was there information you could have been provided before starting this project that would have made your work easier?

8. What made this work most rewarding (observations/comments/accolades)?

Keeping up with legislative issues that affect academic libraries was rewarding in itself.

9. Any other comments, recommendations, or suggestions?