Agenda

Attendees: ReSEC Members: Penny Beile, Paul Bracke, Will Cross, Lori Critz, Mel DeSart, Christine Fruin, Mary Galvin, Abigail Goben, Nathan Hall, Steven Harris, Philip Herold, Patricia Hswe, Pam Lach, Yuan Li, Kara Malenfant, Beth McNeil, Amy Nurnberger, Charlotte Roh, Yasmeen Shorish.
Guests: Nicole Allen, Shawn Daugherty, Allison Langham, Nancy Maron, Joseph McArthur, Karen Munro, Judy Ruttenberg, Nick Shockey

1. Preliminaries (Patricia Hswe, Yasmeen Shorish) 8:30 – 8:35
   a. Introductions
   b. Agenda overview
   c. Minutes review/correction/approval
   d. Committee documentation available in ALAConnect
      i. Eventual home for documentation. Will be asking for help in building this documentation out. Can each subgroup send Yasmeen any documentation that they have?

2. Update on Board meeting and 2.5% discussion;
   i. Asked Board for their thoughts on this emerging discussion and where they see ACRL in all this. Board asked ReSEC monitor the landscape – we need to determine what “monitoring” looks like.
   b. NAS Board on Research Data & Information (BRDI),
      i. Beginning conversations with BRDI to establish more of an ACRL presence for BRDI conversations. Yasmeen needs to follow up with them to close this loop.
   c. Open Scholarship Initiative (OSI)
      i. Steering Group makes OSI decisions and Summit group acts as advisory. This year, just the Summit Group is going to meet in DC in March. Mel De Sart will be attending as a Summit Group member and can bring that information back to this group.

3. Scholarly Communication column in College & Research Libraries News (Yuan Li, Charlotte Roh, incoming Pamella Lach) 8:45 – 8:50
Since June, about 3000 views of the column. More probing columns result in more views. Have a full slate of authors for the upcoming year. Yuan is rotating off as co-editor. Rotating new column guests in as Nancy Sims and Maria Bonn rotate off. Yasmeen asked Charlotte to share the editor documentation so that it may be included in the Master Documentation.

4. Scholarly Communication Toolkit (Steven Harris) 8:50 – 8:55
   a. Just keeping things current. Steven has added a tab of news streams, RSS feeds. Welcome feedback on that addition. This subgroup should update prior to OA Week and we should promote the resource heavily in advance of OA Week and next week for Love Data Week. Christine is rolling off ReSEC in June, so we need volunteers. Thank you, Christine!! (NB: It was determined after MW that Steven is not rolling off in June, hence the correction to this record)

5. Data Privacy Cookbook (Amy N.) 8:55 – 9:00
   a. Not many updates right now. Amy will be pulling the team back together in the next few weeks to assess and consider the ACRL potential design assistance.

6. Scholarly Communication Discussion Group / ACRL-SPARC 9:00 – 9:15
   Forum debrief (Philip Herold, Wayne Biven-Tatum)
   The SPARC Forum yesterday focused on community involvement in scholarly communication infrastructure. Three panelists: Sarah Wipperman (UPenn), Shawn Martin (IU), Chealsy Bowley (Ubiquity Press). Discussed different aspects of engagement in building community and engaging in scholarly communication conversations.
   Discussed advertising as a 90-minute session for expanded discussion, but ALA will not be able to advertise it as such because of the new standardized scheduling. We may want to consider fewer panelists to make more time for discussion. Philip will share the community input on the Research Agenda.
   a. Call for 2018-2019 volunteers for SCDG
      a. Need two volunteers. Sometimes beneficial to have those people in different time zones. This needs to be filled before Annual, so please reach out!
   b. SCDG session for 2018 Annual
      a. Will be in a format TBD to get community input on the Research Agenda.

7. Roadshow updates: Scholarly Communication and Data 9:15 – 9:30
   (Kara Malenfant, Will Cross, Abigail Goben)
   a. ACRL now has six roadshows. They are all overseen by Chase Ollis in ACRL. ReSEC has oversight of scholarly communication and research data roadshows. This was the first year that we offered feedback to unsuccessful SC roadshow host applications. Some applicants did request feedback and reported that getting that feedback was helpful. Will noted that it does seem like the absence of Canadian perspective was noticeable. A possibility to reach out to Amy B at Guelph?
b. The RDM roadshow has been to Mississippi and Trinidad. Three more scheduled for 2018: Atlanta, Reno, Nebraska. Abigail is concerned about the marketing for the RDM Roadshow because it is not consistent. Consortia could be better connections. Have capacity to do six to nine per year. Steven questions how well the hosts are able to market the roadshows? Abigail reports that many are multi-institution events.

i. Chase has the intention of bringing the presenter coordinators together to coordinate the promotion of all ACRL Roadshows so various groups aren’t getting a variety of disparate messages. ReSEC committee members can reach out to their communities as well to promote this work. Yasmeen, Patricia, and Abigail will discuss further steps to plan across the various roadshows in a consistent way. Some concern about doing another event at ACRL 2019 because of the potential burden to presenters.


a. Nathan provided overview of the process up to this point: the formation of the Research Agenda (RA) subcommittee at ALA MW 2017, the RA Process Proposal was created for ALA Annual 2017, feedback from ReSEC members and the ACRL Board helped solidify the proposal and subsequent RFP. There will be open forums in the spring and fall for community feedback. We are currently reviewing applications. A new process was that we excerpted the RFP for public comment before posting it and we did get some feedback from that process that also helped us refine the final RFP. Erin Nevius will be working closely with the RA group on the publishing process. The report will be freely available electronically and for purchase in print. ACRL will include it in approval plans, and will help with marketing and promotion. It was noted that this is a much more open process than previous agendas.

i. One question is how we will assess the impact of the agenda? We did not include an assessment plan in the RFP. We may want to emulate some of the steps that VAL took with their agenda, like research grants and survey participants. Create case study or start-up efforts to help bring the agenda to life and bring it into people’s workflow. When, why, how you use the agenda. Another potential avenue for advertising could be through the SC DG sessions at MW or Annual. Ways to connect to the SC Toolkit.

ii. Public acknowledgment of Kara’s amazing support in this work.

9. B R E A K 9:55 – 10:10 (we went late)

10. OpenCon Scholarships Selection Subcommittee (Patricia) 10:10 – 10:25

Desire to create a streamlined application process to identify ACRL members more closely. Would like to create a rubric to evaluate the applications. Going to work with SPARC more closely to tighten up the timeline of when people learn about OpenCon scholarships and the ACRL scholarships.

11. Updates from the domain 10:25 – 10:45

a. ARL (Judy Ruttenberg, Prog Dir for Strategic Initiatives)
i. ARL has new leadership. Anne Kinney has been the interim ED and Mary Lee Kennedy will be the executive director.

ii. We would like to put increased infrastructure around ARL Scholarly Communication agenda, focusing on the public good. Increase capacity around EDI in ARL work. Beth McNeil is the Vice Chair of that committee. Doing leadership around inclusive organizational cultures.
   1. How/does ARL think about expanding this work beyond ARL libraries? Unsure, but Mark Puente came to the ACRL Board to co-sponsor the ARL Leadership Symposium in May.

iii. Public policy: work on best practices on fair use and software preservation practices. Just released on ARL website. The code of best practices will be published in fall with webinars and so on following.

iv. 2018 SPEC Kit topics: accessibility services, library development, learning analytics and privacy, outreach and engagement.


vi. ASERL is hosting the Intersections roadshow.

vii. PH: How can ReSEC help ARL’s work? Continue these connections especially as ARL investigates SC agenda.

b. SPARC (Nick Shockey, Dir of Programs & Engagement, and Nicole Allen, Dir of Open Education. Joined by Shawn Dougherty and Joe MacArthur)

   Nick: Moving forward with community owned or aligned infrastructure. Bringing business analysts to evaluate the SC players. This should help make targeted investments in vendors with solid business foundations. Also bringing in legal consultants that can evaluate legal documents to make sure contracts are written with library values and interests protection. Convening a bepress working group for those looking to migrate way from bepress. Environmental analysis of different platforms will probably be a top priority. Hope this will reduce the duplication of work that goes into these analyses. Maybe make a directory of people to advise on these efforts.

   Nicole: Ramping up OER developments. Piloting a new professional development program for campus – asynchronous and online with a capstone project. Building out ConnectOER platform, which is a place to share activities and information around OER on campus. Annual report in August. Looking at increased involvement of vendors in the OER space. Lots of confusion on campus around vendor offerings and terms. Push from publishers at the state level which push states to adopt policies like “inclusive access models” where publishers directly bill students for textbooks. OER Playbook has some strategies to advance policies at the state level. At the federal level, continue to pursue the federal open education grant. Some legislation coming up around OER transparency.

   Shawn: SPARC is monitoring 9 other pieces of legislation. Pushing more information directly to members. Legislative updates on an regular basis. FASTR is high on the priority list; various committees are fighting over who will move
OA forward. Most of the legislation has good foundational language about open and machine-readable. Still waiting on appropriations information.

Joe: Working on OpenCon and OA button. Been revamping a lot of the OA Button work: integrating OA materials into ILL process and library discovery systems that fit into research workflows, like browser plug-ins. Helping library services slot into workflows. Steven: getting into vendor knowledge base is one way to streamline OER inclusions.

Please let ReSEC know how we can help SPARC's efforts.

12. Relations Subcommittee (Mel DeSart, Subcmte members)  10:45 – 10:55
   a. Committee to look at relationships between libraries and vendors and internal campus entities. On the SPARC AMA call, Heather mentioned that they were looking at conversations between libraries and entities on campus. Seems like this work is aligned. SPARC heard concerns about vendors circumventing the library for products sales, so SPACR has been trying to find ways to empower library leaders to assert themselves in this space. The business analysis effort is one piece of this work. Another piece is looking at what the community identifies as needs and how that compares with the vendor provisos.
   b. Some vendors working with state entities to assess state research asset management. Libraries find this information out after the fact when they are approached to provide the ORCID information for the institution.
   c. Contract language is being investigated by this working group as well – will need to bring this work into close coordination with SPARC.

13. Open Research Statement Subcommittee (Steven)  10:55 – 11:05
   a. Want some feedback from this group before we open it wide. Request for Steven to prompt the committee with questions and a link to the Google Doc for comment and suggestions. When we solicit for feedback we need to track who we received information from and when.
   b. Also might want to consider persistence and stewardship in the statement

14. ReSEC Response Group  (Nathan Hall)  11:05 – 11:10
   a. NIH: Request for Information (RFI): Next-Generation Data Science Challenges in Health and Biomedicine

   Most of the intelligence and passion on this came from Yasmeen, Patricia, and especially Abigail Goben. The response was based on lots of content input and feedback from them, and lots of procedural support from Kara. Ultimately after ReSEC drafts the response, Kara shepherds it through ACRL’s communications standards and branding, and the Mary Ellen Davis signs it and then it is submitted.

   Kara – DC office has a new director. Thinking strategically about setting priorities. Part of this means taking the pulse of the community to see how much passion there is to push a priority forward. Is there will for FASTR to move forward as a priority? YES.

15. Holdovers from Fall Conference Call / Open Discussion  11:10 – 11:25
   (everyone)
a. Marketing / branding of ReSEC?
   1. The name is actually problematic. More public facing information can help.
   ii. Connecting to ACRL / significance of membership
   iii. Website refresh
       1. Is there a will to revise the website from committee members? YES.
   iv. Other stuff?

16. Wrap up (Patricia) 11:25 – 11:30
   a. Observations and statements from the candidates for ACRL President.

Joining via Skype: Will Cross, Pam Lach, Amy Nurnberger, Abigail Goben