Board I Proceedings


Staff: Margot Conahan, Mark Cummings, Sharon Mader, Erin Nevius, Allison Payne, Mary Jane Petrowski

Guests: Elizabeth Berman, Amy Buckland, Robert Cagna, Jeanne Davidson, Carl DiNardo, Caroline Fuchs, Jaime Corris Hammond, Merinda Hensley, Rhonda Huisman, Debbie Malone, Adam Murray, Emily Sheketoff, Shan Sutton, Troy Swanson, Julie Todaro (virtual)

1.0 Call to order (Campion Riley)

Campion Riley called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

2.0 Opening remarks/review of ground rules (Campion Riley)

Campion Riley welcomed the Board and guests to the Board I meeting. She referred the Board to the ground rules and knowledge-based questions included on the meeting agenda.

3.0 Adoption of the Agenda (Campion Riley)

Motion: Campion Riley moved that the Board adopts the revised agenda as presented (with the removal of item 7.19, standards presenter coordinator).

Action: The Board approved the agenda as presented.
4.0 Library of Congress National and International Outreach (Jane McAuliffe)
Campion Riley welcomed Jane McAuliffe, Director, National and International Outreach (NIO) at the Library of Congress. McAuliffe shared background on the newly formed NIO, and stated that the mission of NIO is, “broadening awareness and use of the Library and its resources through outreach and external partnerships.” She advised that NIO coordinates initiatives, such as the Center for the Book, the Gershwin Prize, and the National Book Festival. McAuliffe invited the Board to visit the NIO booth in the exhibits hall to learn more about NIO.

5.0 Goal-area Committee Updates (Campion Riley) #4.0, #4.1, #4.2, #4.3

5.1 Research and Scholarly Environment Committee
Campion Riley welcomed the Research and Scholarly Environment Committee’s (ReSEC) Chair Shan Sutton and Vice-Chair Amy Buckland to the Board meeting. Campion Riley thanked Sutton and Buckland for their update at Friday’s Leadership Council & Membership Meeting.

Sutton and Buckland reported on recent activities of the committee, including the scholarly communication column in C&RL News, scholarly communication toolkit, Open Access Week in October 2016, scholarly communication road show, and data management road show. Later today, ReSEC will be hosting the ACRL/SPARC Forum on the topic of making journals open access.

The Open Access Policy Statement is on the Board’s consent agenda for today’s meeting. ReSEC sought input from different groups at Midwinter 2016, and made some revisions based on the feedback the committee received. ReSEC believes, if approved, the statement will be valuable to membership and will align with the current momentum surrounding open access in higher education.

The committee is working on an open letter to support open access for Vice-President Joe Biden’s Moonshot initiative on cancer research.

Sutton and Buckland expressed some concern regarding open access and publisher consolidation.

The Board asked for more information on the data management road show. Currently, ReSEC is overseeing the road show. If the Digital Curation Interest Group transitions into a section, the new section may consider overseeing the road show.

Campion Riley thanked Sutton and Buckland for their discussion with the Board, and for the committee’s progress advancing the ACRL Plan for Excellence. The Board is looking forward to engaging with the goal-area committees at the upcoming Strategic Planning and Orientation Session (SPOS) in the fall.
5.3 Student Learning & Information Literacy Committee
Campion Riley welcomed Student Learning & Information Literacy Committee (SLILC) Chair Merinda Hensley to the Board meeting.

Hensley began her report on SLILC by thanking Vice-President Irene Herold for appointing extra members to the committee to help complete work plan projects. Hensley referred the Board to SLILC’s work plan in the packet, and highlighted some of the accomplishments, such as the SLILC LibGuide, virtual forums, and accreditation documentation and bibliographies.

Hensley thanked the Board for allowing SLILC to submit a statement (Doc 3.1) on the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education to allow for a perspective from instruction librarians. SLILC member Ann Roselle is writing a new statement on the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education, and Roselle will share with ACRL staff and Board when the statement is completed. Throughout the year, the committee also gave input to and worked with ACRL Program Officer for Information Literacy Sharon Mader and the Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB). Some collaboration highlights include the Framework calendar, Framework bibliography, and two well-attended virtual forums.

The committee is looking forward to the upcoming C&RL News column on information literacy, and the first two column authors will be Emily Drabinski and Donna Witek.

Hensley shared that SLILC is looking forward to partnerships with other goal-area committees. Current planned projects include co-sponsored webinars with the Value of Academic Libraries Committee (VAL), intersections professional development with ReSEC, and plans to collaborate with the newly approved New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee.

The committee is also partnering with groups outside of ACRL by sponsoring ACRL liaison Beth Dupuis to EDUCAUSE. Dupuis attended the spring EDUCAUSE conference and presented a poster. Hensley also noted that the American Association of Community Colleges (AACC) has a position statement on information literacy based on the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education and that ACRL should begin exploring how to revise the statement.

SLILC is looking forward to the global information literacy white paper, and currently has fifteen global authors. The document will be published in early 2017, and will be available as an open access white paper on ACRL’s website. The Board originally approved funding for a smaller number of global authors to attend ACRL 2017, and many of the authors have their own funding to attend the conference.
The Board expressed interest in the global information literacy project, and is looking forward to the outcome. The Board agreed that inviting these speakers to ACRL’s international reception at ACRL 2017 would be a good idea.

A fifth objective, “Investigate and advocate for the inclusion of teaching and learning into library and information science school curricula by collaborating with relevant ALA groups and organizations,” was added to the Student Learning goal area on the ACRL Plan for Excellence. The committee will be discussing how to carry out this objective at their upcoming meeting at Annual Conference, and would like input from the Board on potential projects.

5.2 Value of Academic Libraries Committee
Campion Riley welcomed Value of Academic Libraries Committee (VAL) Co-chair Debbie Malone, Vice-Chair Jaime Corris Hammond, and member Adam Murray. The committee leaders reported on this year’s accomplishments, including the research agenda proposal and three webinars on learning analytics.

The Board will take action on the proposed Value of Academic Libraries Statement during item 8.2 of the Board I agenda. The Board supported the VAL Statement, but agreed that the VAL Statement should include citations and a bibliography.

The VAL committee members expressed interest in having an Assessment in Action (AiA) liaison on the VAL committee, and ACRL staff will help coordinate this process.

The committee members requested feedback on the guidelines they have drafted with the digital badge interest group.

The committee met this morning and discussed the newly revised Value of Academic Libraries’ Plan for Excellence objectives, and asked the Board for direction on how to best carry out the objectives and if there are suggested groups for collaboration.

Campion Riley thanked Malone, Hammond and Murray for their discussion with the Board, and invited the committee members to stay for the VAL/AiA discussion later in the meeting, so that their questions could be addressed.

7.0 Consent Agenda (Campion Riley)

Confirmation of Virtual Votes
- 7.1 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Midwinter Meeting 2016 proceedings #2.0, #2.0a
- 7.2 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Spring Board Meeting 2016 proceedings #2.1, #2.1a


- 7.3 Confirmation of virtual vote approving revised Plan for Excellence #2.2, #2.2a
- 7.4 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Publications-in-Librarianship Editor #2.3
- 7.5 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Section Transition Policy #2.4
- 7.6 Confirmation of virtual vote approving a publishing project for Choice. #2.5
- 7.7 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Standards Review Task Force #2.6
- 7.7 Confirmation of virtual vote approving New Roles and Changing Landscapes Division-level Committee. #2.7
- 7.8 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Immersion Faculty co-coordinators. #2.12
- 7.9 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Standards for Distance Learning Library Services. #2.13
- 7.10 Confirmation of virtual vote approving Status of Academic Librarians Standards and Guidelines Review Task Force. #2.14

New Consent Agenda Items

- 7.11 Approval of the LPSS name change to Politics, Policy and International Relations Section. #2.8
- 7.12 Approval of renewal of Library and Information Science Education Interest Group #2.9, #2.9a, #2.9b
- 7.13 Approval of renewal of Technical Services Interest Group #2.10, #2.10a, #2.10b
- 7.14 Approval of renewal of Numeric and Spatial Data in Academic Libraries Interest Group #2.11, #2.11a, #2.11b
- 7.15 Approval of Contemplative Pedagogy Interest Group #2.12, #2.12a
- 7.16 Approval ACRL Policy Statement on Open Access to Scholarship by Academic Librarians #2.13, #2.13a, #2.13b
- 7.17 Approval of awards criteria for LPSS Marta Lange Award. #2.14, #2.14a
- 7.18 Approval of Guidelines on the Selection and Transfer of Materials from General Collections to Special Collections #2.15, #2.15a, #2.15b

Motion: Lehman moved that the consent agenda be approved as presented.

Action: The consent agenda was approved as presented by the Board.

6.0 ALA Board Liaison Update (Todaro) connecting virtually

ALA Board Liaison Julie Todaro thanked the ACRL Board for accommodating her virtual connection. Todaro began her report by sharing that registration for the 2016 Annual Conference in Orlando is down compared to last year’s Annual Conference in San Francisco.

Todaro reported that general ALA membership is just below sixty thousand members.
Todaro reported on the ALA advocacy initiative, Libraries Transform. Over three thousand libraries are involved with the Libraries Transform campaign. Todaro highlighted the campaign’s new “I’m an expert in...” badges.

ACRL is engaging with the Libraries Transform Campaign through the ACRL Libraries Transform Task Force. The ACRL Task Force is looking to create “Because” statements for academic and research libraries, and would like direction on how to submit these statements. Todaro advised that these statements can be sent to her or ALA Executive Director Keith Michael Fiels. ACRL can also market these statements on its own.

The ALA Task Force on Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion has more than forty recommendations that were included in its June 2016 report, and working groups have been created to carry out these recommendations. One recommendation is to look at programs and diversity content.

Todaro shared that there are plans to revitalize Midwinter Meeting by adding a theme and three days of innovative programming. An advertisement for these changes is included in the 2016 Annual Conference Cognotes.

The Board asked how ALA is addressing the decreased vendor attendance at Midwinter Meetings, as there have been consolidations in the vendor community. The Board also wanted more information on the impact of shrinking library budgets and the need for two ALA conferences per year. Todaro shared that the attendance at Midwinter Meetings is stagnant with the same loyal base. ALA sees the new programming as a pilot project.

ALA Budget Analysis & Review Committee (BARC) is working on a new infographic for membership. Todaro shared a draft image of a dollar bill that shows the benefits of membership. The prototype displays what percent of membership dollars support specific activities.

ALA President Julie Todaro is working with ALA’s Washington Office to provide information about and position libraries in the platforms and policy agendas being formulated by the campaign staff of the presidential and vice-presidential candidates, the transition teams, and then the new administration. Todaro is calling this initiative 45-115 (for 45th president and 115th Congress). An event at the 2017 Midwinter Meeting will coincide with the inaugural address.

The ALA Digital Content Working Group is working with publishers and will be discussing at the 2017 Midwinter Meeting Open Education Resource (OER) textbooks.
The Board shared some ACRL updates for Todaro to report back to the ALA Executive Board. ACRL is looking to approve the Value of Academic Libraries Statement and an Open Access Policy Statement at its meeting at the 2016 Annual Conference.

8.0 Value of Academic Libraries/Assessment in Action (Barnes Whyte)

8.2 Value of Academic Libraries Statement #12.0, #12.1
The Board continued its discussion on the VAL Statement that occurred during the goal-area committee discussion earlier in the meeting. The Board was reminded that the Value of Academic Libraries Statement was discussed at the Strategic Planning and Orientation Session (SPOS) two years ago and that the VAL Committee was encouraged to develop a statement similar to the statement issued by the Society of College, National and University Libraries (SCONUL), which did not have citations. The purpose was to have a statement library administrators could use with other leaders in higher education. The committee agreed to add some citations to the statement.

**Motion:** Gelfand moved that the Board approves the Value of Academic Libraries Statement with the addition of appropriate citations and bibliography.

**Action:** The Board approved the Value of Academic Libraries Statement with the addition of appropriate citations and bibliography.

8.1 Value of Academic Libraries Committee and Assessment in Action Next Steps #5.0, #5.1
The Board also discussed the next steps for the Value of Academic Libraries Committee (VAL) and Assessment in Action (AiA). The Board discussed the addition of an AiA liaison on VAL, and was advised that VAL staff liaison Kara Malenfant will coordinate this liaison appointment after conference.

The Board discussed the draft guideline on digital badging that VAL collaborated on with the ACRL Digital Badging Interest Group (DCIG). The draft guideline on digital badging began after collaboration with VAL, DCIG and the LUMINA Foundation in 2015. The Board agreed a rational for digital badging guidelines should be added to a Board action request if VAL pursues this project.

The Board supported the idea for an assessment workshop for library administrators. The workshop would train library leaders of all types of academic libraries on strategies for using assessment data. The Board action form to be submitted if the group would like to move forward with this project.

There was support for the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) project, as well as the project suggested by the Community College Research Center, that were included in the report for
the 2016 Spring Board Meeting discussion. VAL will submit a revised report, and this is a potential discussion for the upcoming fall 2016 Strategic Planning and Orientation Session (SPOS).

The Board suggested that VAL could create a subcommittee to continue AiA’s work.

9.0 Officers’ Reports

9.1 President’s Report (Campion Riley) #1.1
ACRL President Ann Campion Riley referred the Board to her report (Document 1.1), and shared that she has enjoyed interacting with members at awards ceremonies and chapters visits.

9.2 Vice-President’s Report (Herold) #1.2
ACRL Vice-President Irene Herold added to her written report (Document 1.2) by sharing that she recently spoke at the Alabama Chapter meeting. Herold stated that the appointments process went well, and commended ACRL Appointments Chair Erin Ellis on her great job leading the committee.

9.3 Past-President’s Report (Williams) #1.3
ACRL Past-President Karen Williams referred the Board to her written report (Document 1.3), and shared that she has enjoyed the weekly presidents’ call with the other presidents and ACRL Executive Director Mary Ellen Davis.

9.4 Councilor’s Report (Lehman) #1.4
ACRL Division Councilor Douglas Lehman referred the Board to his report (Document 1.4). Lehman stated that Council is considering the following: resolution requesting for immediate ratification of the Marrakesh Treaty; resolution supporting of the Professional Cataloging Processing and Determinations of the Library of Congress; resolution calling on Libraries to Build More Inclusive Communities; and a resolution Concerning the Role of Chapters in the American Library Association.

The Board heard some concerns expressed by ALA Chapters about the need for communication in a timely way on issues affecting their region. ALA does not comment on topics, until local organizations request help. ALA Committee on Professional Ethics (COPE) is looking into the professional ethics of mandating chapter communication on issues.

9.5 Executive Director’s Plan for Excellence Activities Report (Davis) #1.5, #1.6
ACRL Executive Director Mary Ellen Davis began her report by thanking the staff for their hard work. Davis thanked ACRL Program Officer for Information Literacy Sharon Mader for her great job working with the Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB) on the Framework sandbox. Davis also thanked Choice Editor & Publisher Mark Cummings for his work reimagining Choice, and encouraged the Board to visit the Choice booth in the exhibits hall.
The Board asked for information on the IMLS-funded Nexus project to develop leadership materials for libraries, archives, and museums. Davis reported that a contractor was hired to create a series on leadership, and that there is a potential for collaboration. ACRL offered to host the online tools.

The Board congratulated Julia Gelfand and John Culshaw on reaching 52% of their fundraising goal for the ACRL 2017 Conference in Baltimore. Culshaw updated the Board that Colleagues donations are now closer to 65% of the goal.

11.0 Plans for ACRL Board SPOS (Herold)
Herold began the discussion on SPOS planning by confirming the start and end times for the upcoming ACRL Strategic Planning and Orientation Session on September 14-16, 2016. The meeting will start at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 14 and will conclude by 4:00 p.m. on Friday, September 16, 2016.

Editor’s note: The Friday end time was updated to 3:30 p.m. to expand return flight options and was communicated to attendees after the Board meeting.

The Board discussed potential SPOS topics, and is interested in holding in-depth conversations on membership. The Board also expressed interest in discussing the New Roles & Changing Landscapes goal area. There was some interest in media training, changes at ALA, and collaboration with other ALA units.

12.0 Open Microphone

12.1 ALA Washington Office Emily Sheketoff (5 minutes) #10.0
Campion Riley welcomed ALA Washington Office Executive Director Emily Sheketoff to the meeting. Sheketoff began her report by stating that the ALA Office opposes changes to section 108 of the Copyright Law that allows the reproduction of materials by libraries. The 2016 ACRL Legislative Agenda included section 108 under the agenda’s Watchlist.

Sheketoff next reported on the “Marrakesh Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works for Persons Who Are Blind, Visually Impaired or Otherwise Print Disabled.” In February 2016, the ALA Washington Office released a joint statement in support of ratifying the treaty.

The Supreme Court declined to review the challenge to Google Books regarding copyright infringement.
The nomination process for Carla Hayden is underway, and it is expected that her nomination will be approved by Congress. ALA issued a statement urging Congress to quickly approve the nomination of Carla Hayden during the 2016 National Library Legislative Day.

Sheketoff concluded her report by sharing that the Washington Office will continue to monitor privacy issues regarding electronic communications.

10.0 Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education (Williams) #3.0–#3.1

Williams referred the Board to the documents in the packet, and reminded the Board that the action on the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education (ILCSHE) is scheduled for the Board II meeting on Monday, June 27, 2016.

Williams began the discussion by providing a historical summary of the ILCSHE process. The Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education (ILCSHE) was first approved by the Board in 2000. The first review task force was created in March 2011, and recommended that the ILCSHE needed to be revised and a new task force be created for this purpose. In 2013, the Board approved a new task force that was charged with revising the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education. The task force reviewed the ILCSHE and, after regular consultation with the Board, decided a new approach was needed. The task force created the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education. In February 2015, the Board filed the Framework to allow for easy updating and in January 2016, the Board adopted the Framework. The Board intentionally left the Framework and ILCSHE in force for more than a year to provide a transition period. At the 2015 Midwinter Meeting, the Board approved a timeline to take action on the ILCSHE at Annual Conference 2016.

Williams referred the Board to the options chart (Doc 3.0) and the input received from the Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee (SLILC) (Doc 3.1) to help the Board start its discussion on its decision for the ILCSHE.

The Board had an in-depth conversation regarding the various options for the ILCSHE, and how to best move forward. The Board shared input from membership groups, including the Instruction Section (IS), the University Libraries Section (ULS), Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB), and SLILC. There was support for both rescinding and keeping the Standards. Board members relayed comments from members varying from, “the Standards are crippling us and we can’t move forward,” to “the ILCSHE can easily co-exist with the Framework and the Framework is simply one approach.”
The Board discussed the impact on members if the Standards were rescinded and potential initiatives that could help these members. It was suggested that the Community College Engagement Task Force could be consulted. The Board agreed that the launch of the Framework sandbox in the fall could provide resources to help members engage with the Framework. The Board also heard support for the Standards for Libraries in Higher Education with the articulation of the, “educational role” of the library, serving as the umbrella standard.

The Board agreed that it would like to separate the decision to rescind from the decision on next steps. The Board expressed interest in taking action at this meeting, rather than waiting until its Monday meeting.

**Motion:** Julie Garrison moved that the Board rescinds the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education.

**Action:** The Board voted to rescind the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education.

**13.0 ALA 2015 Advocacy Implementation Plan (Davis) #11.0–11.2**

Davis began the discussion on the 2015 ALA Advocacy Implementation Plan by stating that six month ago, ALA Council passed a resolution for divisions to adopt the Implementation Plan. The Board agreed that ACRL is currently implementing advocacy activities that are summarized on the ACRL’s Advocacy & Issues webpage.

Davis will reach out to ALA Executive Director Keith Michael Fiels to see how ACRL should communicate its advocacy activities to ALA.

**16.0 Adjournment**

Campion Riley thanked the Board for the thoughtful discussions and hard work. Campion Riley adjourned the meeting at 5:24 p.m.
Board II Proceedings


Staff: Mark Cummings, David Free, Sharon Mader, Allison Payne, Mary Jane Petrowski

Guests: Elizabeth Berman, Jon Cawthorne, Emily Daly (virtual), Teresa Doherty, Moriana Garcia, LeRoy LaFleur, Cheryl Middleton

17.0 Call to order (Campion Riley)
Campion Riley called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

18.0 Opening remarks/review of ground rules (Campion Riley)

19.0 Adoption of Agenda II (Campion Riley)
Campion Riley requested that the agenda be amended to include a ten-minute executive session after the scheduled break for the executive director’s review. Campion Riley also requested for the meeting to be extended if needed, due to the newly added executive session.

Motion: Julie Garrison moved for the agenda to be approved as amended.

Action: The Board approved the agenda as amended.
20.0 Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education (Williams) #3.0–#3.1

Williams began the discussion with a recap of the Board I meeting on Saturday, June 25, 2016. She stated that the Board took action to rescind the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education (ILCSHE). The Board agreed to further discuss possible next steps at the Board II meeting.

Williams stated that during the Saturday discussion, the Board agreed that the ILCSHE are outdated. The Board expressed support for the Standards Discussion Chart (Doc 3.0) option eight:

Libraries will use the Standards for Libraries in Higher Education as an umbrella document to indicate how the library contributes to institutional mission and goals. The Framework for Information Literacy in Higher Education provides the conceptual structure for learning and teaching. ACRL will, with faculty, develop Framework companion documents that provide model/sample student learning outcomes and authentic assessment for disciplinary context.

The Board continued its discussion of next steps by stating that some ACRL sections, such as WGSS and EBSS, are currently working on companion documents for the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education. The Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB), Standards Committee, and Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee are also developing companion documents for the ILCSHE. The Board suggested that campion documents such as these should be added to the Framework sandbox that will be launched in the fall.

The Standards for Libraries in Higher Education Review Task Force was established by the Board in April 2016, and the Board agreed a revision of the Standards for Libraries in Higher Education could be used as an umbrella document, and that the section on “Educational Role” can, along with the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education, be used as a guide for practice.

The Board agreed due to the many projects already underway, it is unnecessary to create a new group or take action at this time on next steps. There was concern that some members may want concrete direction on next steps, and that there could be more inclusion of community college representatives on groups that are already engaged with the Framework. The ACRL Community College Engagement Task Force could also revise its charge to include a portion on the Framework. It was suggested that professional development opportunities could be targeted to the needs of community college librarians.

The Board agreed that it will continue to communicate its decision and next steps to members via ACRL social media and ACRL Insider.
Campion Riley thanked Williams for leading the discussion.

21.0 Intellectual Freedom Representative Update (Teresa Doherty)
Campion Riley welcomed Teresa Doherty, ACRL representative to the ALA Intellectual Freedom Committee (IFC). Doherty began her report by highlighting the Chicago Teacher’s Union (CTU) Foundation’s New Voices Initiative, which encourages diversity in book publishing and connecting libraries with diverse books.

Doherty shared other updates, including that the IFC approved new privacy guidelines for K-12 schools in May 2016. She also shared that ALA Council may consider a motion on Religion in American Libraries: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights. This draft has been shared by the Intellectual Freedom Committee on ALA Connect, and has received positive support.

Doherty concluded her report with the announcement of the Journal of Intellectual Freedom and Privacy, which will be replacing the old intellectual freedom newsletter and will provide an official record of challenges to books. She encouraged librarians to submit book reviews for the journal.

22.0 Budget and Finance (Lehner)

22.1 FY16 3rd Quarter report #6.0, #6.1
ACRL Budget & Finance Committee Chair John Lehner stated that both ACRL and Choice third quarter actuals are performing better than budgeted. He advised that one of the major reasons for the below budget actuals is due to outstanding book sales.

22.2 Dashboard Metrics review #7.0
Lehner reported that the Budget & Finance Committee agreed no changes are needed for the ACRL Dashboard Metrics. The Budget & Finance Committee was inspired by ALA’s dollar bill infographic, and is interested in creating an infographic for ACRL members. The committee would like to see a draft image at the 2017 Midwinter Meeting.

22.3 Update on section membership #13.0
The Budget & Finance Committee is continuing to monitor the section funding model after sections became free for all ACRL members. Free sections have had minimal impact on section membership, and the Budget & Finance Committee has not seen a major fiscal impact by continuing the section funding model. It is expected that the committee will recommend to the Board in 2018 to maintain the current funding model.
22.4 FY17 Budget recommendation #8.0, #8.1, #8.2, #8.3
Lehner referred the Board to the FY17 budget recommendation, and advised that there is a planned deficit for strategic initiatives. The Budget & Finance Committee took action at its meeting on June 26, 2016, and voted to recommend the FY17 budget as presented.

**Motion:** Lehner moved that the Board approves the FY17 ACRL budget as presented.

**Action:** The Board approved the FY17 budget as presented.

22.5 FY17 Individual member dues rates #9.0, #9.1, #9.2
Lehner advised that the Board can approve a dues increase up to the Higher Education Price Index (HEPI), and stated that the Budget & Finance Committee voted to recommend to the Board to increase dues based on the HEPI recommendation.

**Motion:** Lehner moved that the Board approves increasing dues per the HEPI recommendation ($1 increase for personal, student, and retired members).

The Board began its discussion on the motion by discussing the benefits and drawbacks of the dues increase. The Board expressed some interest in only increasing dues for regular members, and to offer a discount to student and retired members. The Board agreed to vote on dues for personal members separate from dues for student and retired members.

**Motion:** Lehner amended his motion, and moved that the Board approves increasing personal dues for FY17 up to the HEPI recommendation ($1 increase).

The Board discussed the new motion, and there was some support for not increasing dues due to the net asset balance and trends for low librarian salaries. Lehner added that one of the reasons the Budget & Finance Committee recommended this increase is to keep pace with inflation. The Board expressed support by stating that the increase cannot be applied retroactively.

**Action:** The Board approved increasing personal dues for FY17 up to the HEPI recommendation ($1 increase).

**Motion:** Lehner moved that the Board approves increasing student and retired members’ dues for FY17 up to the HEPI recommendation ($1 increase for both categories).

**Action:** The Board approved increasing student and retired members’ dues for FY17 up to the HEPI recommendation ($1 increase for both categories).
The FY17 dues rates will be $63 for personal members, $41 for student members, and $41 for retiree members.

23.0 Diversity Alliance (Culshaw/Cawthorne) #14.0, #14.1
ACRL Director-at-large John Culshaw and West Virginia University Dean of Libraries Jon Cawthorne began the discussion on the Diversity Alliance, reminding the Board the proposal before them was developed to incorporate feedback given by the Board at its spring meeting.

Culshaw summarized the background of the Diversity Alliance, and reminded the Board that the overall goal of the Diversity Alliance is to increase the diversity of racial and ethnic groups in academic librarian leadership roles by providing work experience in the form of residencies to those from professionally underrepresented racial and ethnic groups. The proposal (Document 14.1) includes the creation of the ACRL Diversity Alliance initiative, creation of the ACRL Diversity Alliance Task Force, digital badging for participating institutions, and holding a session at the ACRL 2017 conference.

There was some interest in partnering with Ithaca’s Mellon-funded diversity survey to extend it to community colleges.

The Board agreed that the Diversity Alliance fits nicely with the core organizational values of the ACRL Plan for Excellence and the newly approved New Roles and Changing Landscapes goal area. The Board liaison to ACRL’s Diversity Committee said the committee is excited about the proposal and supports this initiative.

Motion: Herold moved that the Board approves the ACRL Diversity Alliance and the recommendations included in Document 14.1.

Action: The Board approved the ACRL Diversity Alliance and the recommendations included in Document 14.1

Executive Session
The Board adjourned to Executive Session to complete the Executive Director’s performance evaluation.

The Board reconvened in open session.

24.0 Councilor’s Report (Lehman)
ACRL Division Councilor Douglas Lehman began his report on ALA Council activities by sharing that election results will be presented at the Council III meeting on Tuesday. Lehman reported that at
Council I & II meetings the following resolutions were passed: resolution in Support of the Professional Cataloging Processing and Determinations of the Library of Congress; a resolution Calling on Libraries to Build More Inclusive Communities; and a resolution on the Restoration on the United Nations Depository Library System.

Lehman reported that the following resolutions were deferred to Council III: a resolution on equity for school libraries for the Department of Education making rules for ESSA; and a resolution to request immediate ratification of the Marrakesh Treaty to facilitate access to published works for persons who are blind, visually impaired, or otherwise print disabled. Editor’s note: both resolutions were passed at Council III.

The following resolutions were deferred to after Annual Conference: a resolution concerning the Role of Chapters in the American Library Association; a resolution on gun violence affecting libraries, library workers, and library patrons; resolution on Deaf Culture Digital Library.

25.0 Member Engagement Report (Petrowski) #15.0, #15.1

ACRL Associate Director led the discussion on the McKinley Advisors member engagement report that the Board approved funding for at Midwinter Meeting 2016. The report on the first two of four survey groups is included in Document 15.0.

Petrowski shared some highlights from the survey findings. According to the results, professional development is one of the main reasons people join and stay ACRL members. The survey also found that members leave the association because of a failed expectation. Petrowski also shared with the Board the engagement ladder, which shows the four degrees of member engagement.

The Board discussed member engagement in relation to the ACRL appointments process. The Board is interested in identifying people who volunteer multiple years, and do not receive an appointment. For the upcoming appointments cycle, the Board agreed it would be helpful for the Appointments Committee and President-elect to see when individuals have been recommended for multiple appointments. ACRL staff will research providing real-time reporting for the Appointments Committee to better compare recommendations for committee service.

The Board agreed that it would like to have a deeper dive into membership at the upcoming SPOS meeting in the fall, and would like to spend more time with the member engagement findings.
26.0 ACRL Representatives’ Report Review (Williams) #16.0
ACRL Past-President Karen Williams was not available to give an oral report due to a conflicting meeting her home institution. The Board was referred to the written reports (Document 16.0) in the packet.

27.0 New business/next steps (Campion Riley/all)

27.1 Section 108 review process (Davis)
Davis reported that the Copyright Office is reviewing section 108 of the copyright law, and is seeking input through invitational meetings, rather than an open call for comments.

28.0 Recognition of outgoing Board members (Campion Riley)
Campion Riley recognized outgoing Board members: Karen A. Williams, President 2014–15; Douglas Lehman, Division Councilor 2013–16; Julie Ann Garrison, Director-at-large 2012–16; and Marilyn N. Ochoa, Director-at-large 2012–16.

29.0 Passing of the gavel (Campion Riley)
Campion Riley thanked the Board for its thoughtful discussion and productive meeting.

Herold presented Campion Riley with some gifts of appreciation and thanked Campion Riley for serving as the ACRL President. Campion Riley passed the gavel to ACRL President Irene M.H. Herold.

30.0 Adjournment (Herold)
Herold adjourned the meeting at 4:18 p.m.

Submitted by Allison Payne, ACRL Program Officer