

ALA **VIRTUAL**

COMMUNITY • THROUGH • CONNECTION

JUNE 24 – 26, 2020

ACRL Division-level Committee, Chapters Council, and Task Force Minutes

Committees
Membership Committee
Section Membership Committee
New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee
Professional Values Committee
Publications Coordinating Committee
<i>Academic Library Trends and Statistics Survey</i> Editorial Board
ACRL/Core Interdivisional Academic Library Facilities Survey Editorial Board
C&RL Editorial Board
C&RL News Editorial Board
CHOICE Editorial Board
New Publications Advisory Board
RBM Editorial Board
<i>Resources for College Libraries</i> Editorial Board
Research and Scholarly Environment Committee
Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee
Value of Academic Libraries Committee

Chapters Council
Chapters Council

Task Forces

ACRL/ALA/ARL IPEDS Advisory Task Force

Membership Committee

2020 Annual Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: June 30th, 4 PM - 5 PM EST

<https://LenoirRhyne.zoom.us/j/97937718945?pwd=RWJHZEduUI5WVJPZIVNUUR3S3lkdz09>

Present: Dawn Behrend, Chair, Jodie Borgerding, Heidi Steiner Burkhardt, Larayne Dallas, Jeanne Davidson, Rachel Minkin, Mary Jane Petrowski, Ed Rock, Dominique Turnbow, Vice-Chair, Alyssa Valenti, Susan Xue

1. Dawn took minutes.
2. Dawn requested input from members on the annual review with none forthcoming.
3. Dawn requested input from members for the 2020-21 work plan to be drafted by Dominique. Dominique suggested the potential workload be considered in the new work plan in light of additional work obligations faced by members due to COVID. Dominique will submit a draft of the plan to the committee prior to submitting for final board approval.
4. Heidi provided updates on the Emerging Leaders LibGuide which she has worked to streamline and restructure.
5. Mary Jane provided updates on the Academic Library Positions webcast series sharing that there was a forthcoming title from ACRL about job seekers that may serve as a future webcast. Mary Jane and Jodie also noted a webcast cancelled in late March due to COVID may also be a possibility for the coming year.
6. Farewell to members rotating off of the committee: Dawn Behrend; Jodie Borgerding; Heidi Burkhardt; Brady Lund; Rachel Minkin; Ed Rock, Dominique Turnbow, Susan Xue
7. Mary Jane shared that the incoming chair and vice chair are new to the committee and that she will work with them to assist them in transitioning into their role with former chairs also available as needed for guidance.
8. Updates from Mary Jane who shared staff are unpacking their cubicles in their new office space, but will continue to work from home until 9/8/20 after which there will be a staggered schedule of working in the office and from home. Mary Jane noted that due to 28 days of furlough, ALA staff may not be quite as available as in past years. ALA is seeking a new executive director as Mary Ellen Davis has retired, with this position hopefully being filled by February 2021. Mary Jane shared that ACRL will not raise dues for members, but there is an anticipated decline in membership numbers which will impact budgeting.
9. Jeanne shared updates from the annual board meeting. Jeanne shared there are considerations for a Plan B should the biennial ACRL conference occur virtually with this decision being made by November. Jeanne shared that equity, diversion, and inclusion continue to be key initiatives of ACRL which should be reflected in committee work plans.

Dawn Behrend
Instruction and Outreach Librarian

Lenoir-Rhyne University
828.328.7403 | dawn.behrend@lr.edu

American Library Association
Association of College and Research Libraries

Section Membership Committee

Minutes of the June 2020 web-based Meeting

June 16, 2020 (Tuesday); 1:00 - 2:00 pm CT

Attendance:

Present - Larayne Dallas (chair and recorder), Jeanne Davidson (ACRL Board Liaison), Ilka Datig (in-coming vice-chair), Diane Dias De Fazio, Shauna Edson (vice-chair), Lisa Eichholtz, Carin Graves, Bonnie Imler, Erika Jenns, Mary Jane Petrowski (ACRL Staff Liaison), Drew Smith, Sarah Wenzel, Karna Younger

Away - Marguerite (Maggie) Albro, Jayne Blodgett, Michael Courtney, Joanneke Elliott, Diane Fulkerson, Patricia Hartman, Joyce Garczynski, Megan Lounsberry, Manuel Ostos, Catherine Morse, Mallory Sajewski, Susan Schreiner, Kari Weaver

1. Introductions. The meeting began with introductions and a warm-up activity.
2. Updates from the chair.
 - There was a reminder to members to share communication templates by posting them to the committee's site in ALA Connect or by sending the templates to the chair to post. This was a Work Plan project for the committee.
 - Shauna Edson is in-coming chair of the committee and Ilka Datig is in-coming vice-chair.
 - It was a time for appreciation for good volunteers and for good and supportive ALA staff members.
3. Contributing to ACRL resources for students.
 - Developments on the LibGuide (<https://acrl.libguides.com/earlycareer/home>) included an update from the guide liaison (from the ACRL Membership Committee). The liaison had identified a place in the guide for section contributions and welcomed them.
 - The chair promised to send contact information following the committee meeting and also offered to forward contributions, if requested. This Work Plan project had continued to inspire member interest.
4. Year-End Report and Work Plan.
 - Members discussed the committee's completion of the *ACRL Year-End Report and Work Plan*.
 - The chair and vice-chair asked for input on the idea that the committee should focus on sharing projects from the sections. And, they asked for other advice about preparing the Work Plan for the new committee year.
 - It was noted that the plan to request a revision of the committee's charge had not advanced beyond first steps. (The charge includes the duty of collecting statistics, a responsibility now being handled by ACRL staff.)

- Comments supported continuation of the committee's role in providing support (including orientation) in working with monthly membership reports.

5. Updates from the sections and general discussion.

- The discussion of membership reports carried over into the "update" section of the agenda. Attendees compared notes on approaches and tactics for contacting new, renewing, and dropped members. In some sections, there has been a reluctance to contact those on the new, renewed, dropped lists but most felt this an important part of section outreach.
- Members are distressed to see that scholarship recipients are not being retained as members. ACRL research is underway in this area and members hope that results would be useful for retention efforts. A plan of engagement for retaining scholarship winners was suggested as a good committee effort.
- And, more generally, members asked for assistance from ACRL leadership and from the community in providing training in recruiting and retaining a diverse membership.

New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee

Minutes 6/19/20 ALA Annual Meeting

Present: Jolie Graybill (chair), Amy Dye-Reeves, Mark Emmons, Beth Filar Williams (minutes), Marilyn Myers, Erin Nevius (ACRL Staff Liaison), Xuan Pang, Erin Smith (co-presiding), Jocelyn Tipton, Michael Whitechurch, Russell Michalak,

Last month's minutes – let mark know if you need any changes. Will be approved next month!

Announcements:

- ACRL committee membership is here:
<http://www.ala.org/acrl/aboutacrl/directoryofleadership/committees/acr-nrc>
 - New people should be reflected the roster / check yours!
 - Sometimes the appointment emails get into spam check there!
 - Erin Nevius if there are issues
- Google Drive is now set up
 - <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1PyRTU2Uc-Za55UYMqqOkXrOcpuqcGonZ>
 - **Please add your documents!!** By June 30
- On ACRL board meeting this afternoon
 - Thanked them for letting us set up a google drive
 - Erin Smith shared the plans

Team Updates

OER roadshow: curriculum to review end of the month, template slides show / now creating materials; did NOT get the National Endowment for the Humanities grant (\$300,000) which was going to move ALL the roadshows to virtual : (Wanted to find a better platform than zoom since they would be a 3 hour long roadshow. Now looking at other means to fund it. OER team continue your work as planned and ACRL will work on how to convert later – so paying for the platform, the presenters, etc. if you see other possible grants send them to Erin Nevius!

Change Course: any changes? Almost there, getting notifications of updates.

Diversity Pipeline: plan to meet up starting in July with new people.

New Constellation - User Experience/Anthropology starting for new year.

Pandemic Updates

UMN / Mark – campus committee on planning for fall/ August 10 faculty return and next week classes start at reduced level; communication is key! No breaks/ remote after thanksgiving; already cut \$500,00 and still need to do a 5-7% cut.

BYU / Michael – never really shut down; campus admin will make decision in mid July on fall term. Tail end of a dean search.

Goldey-Beacom College/ Russell - July 17/campus opens in august, decision made from above.

Westminster College/ Erin Smith – starting 2 weeks earlier and then done at thanksgiving

Old Miss / Jocelyn - Phase 1 employees back, trying to get things in order according to guidelines but they keep changing; some services by mid-july; everything open in new normal in late august

Texas Tech/ Amy D-R – don't know when they will start; in Dean search now - all in zoom! 4 finalist. Concerns on this transition during remote time.

U of Houston / Marilyn – in dean search now too; researcher on campus for a month; library is research space so people have been working in the closed bldg.; some people working to support; opening doors July 30; class calendar out July 15; budget cut scenarios but ok to prepaid things and spend. Next year will be 7.5% cut.

UMN / Jolie - Furloughs for some, salary cuts for some, and library cuts similar to others ; phase 1 week one, people started coming back unless you can work remote. Hard to tell people there is no end date to come back yet. Bigger concerns are terrible remote spaces/having her staff rethink what they need and how their space is – she did get in some monitors to work with folks laptops at home.

OSU/ BFW - fall term starts mid-September; masks required; salary cuts; etc

Next meeting

July 31, last Friday of the month 8am pacific is on the books!

- Jolie will be on minutes in july
- Erin will propose this same time continues but need to ask newbies
- New listserv with the new people will happen around July 1. Mark will eliminate the old one.

Thank you Jolie for your time as our chair!

Professional Values Committee

Meeting Minutes
Monday, June 15, 2020

Present: Peter Bremer (Chair), Tracy Elliott (Vice-Chair), Emily Knox, Jill Sodt, Andrew Wesolek, Liz King, Patrick Tomlin, Winn Wasson, Jennifer Steele, Lisa Broughman, Jackie Bryant (Board liaison), David Free (Staff liaison),

1. Welcome

2. ACRL 2021 (April 14-17) Session Proposal Submissions Update

After our two proposals, *Data Privacy: Libraries caught between a rock and a hard place* and *Open Access: The library commitment to social justice* were not accepted by ALA, they were resubmitted to ACRL for the Spring 21 conference. ACRL session proposal review should occur in August so we hope to hear from them by the end of the summer.

If either of the panel submissions are approved, the members of next year's Professional Values committee will need to coordinate the sessions, as Peter is rotating off of the committee at the end of this term. Currently, the committee has access to information on both panels via Google Drive.

3) Draft Year-End Report Review

The main focus of this year's committee work has been the ACRL and ALA conference submissions. Peter sent a draft of the year-end report to the committee for review; please send edits or suggestions for changes directly to Peter. The year-end report is due July 31.

4) New Leadership

Tracy Elliott will be the committee chair beginning July 1. Tracy will contribute a draft of the committee work plan for 2021 by July 31, with the final draft due on August 15.

5) New Business

Winn Wasson proposed submitting a *Retrospective: 20 years after the Patriot Act* session proposal for ALA '21. Tracy Elliott suggested the committee may want to consider other opportunities for communicating information, such as putting out

a white paper or submitting an article to *C&RL News*. David Free (editor-in-chief of C&RL News) said he could provide some guidance, should we want to submit an article.

The committee also discussed transferring shared documents from Google Drive to ALA Connect, as committee members will automatically gain access to the documents when they rotate onto the committee. The downside is that this platform does not allow for shared editing as Google does, but we can decide how to collaborate on documents next year.

Respectfully submitted,
Lisa Broughman

Publications Coordinating Committee

**2020 Annual Meeting (online) Sunday, June 30, 11:00am – 12:00pm, EST
Minutes**

Julia Gelfand, Chair

Jennifer A. Maddox Abbott, Vice-Chair

In attendance: Eric Kidwell, Julia Gelfand, David Free, John Budd, Erin Nevius, Eric Kidwell, Tessa Withorn, Kristen Totleben, Mark Cummings, Adrian Ho, Courtney McDonald, Richard Saunders, Heidi Burkhardt

Agenda:

1. Introductions

2. Program (Julia)

June 9 at 11am: Open Peer Review: Considerations for Authors and Publishers, coordinated and facilitated by Julia Gelfand with: Emily Ford, Wendi Arant Kaspar, Daniel Mack and Zoe Wake Hyde (Rebus Foundation). PCC member Tessa Withorn covered the program, to be published in *C&RL News*.

Over 300 people attended the program. ACRL managed session very well. Slides and program are available on site.

3. Search process for next C&RL editor (David)

Sidetracked this past spring. Position will be posted this September. Goal: have someone in the position by July 2021. The incoming editor will work with Wendi Arant Kaspar for a year and then become editor in 2022.

Wendi is in her second three year term right now.

Next step: identify search committee members. The search committee needs to include a couple of members from the editorial board and a couple of PCC members. We need to start moving on this project immediately.

4. Editors' Updates

- a. Academic Trends & Statistics – (Adrian Ho) A total of 1,676 libraries responded to the 2019 survey (50 fewer than last year). Editorial Board members reached out to HBCUs to invite them to participate in the survey. Six HBCUs had responded to the survey before the editorial board members reached out to them. Forty-eight HBCUs participated in the survey after they were contacted by the Editorial Board members. For the 2020 survey, there will be trends questions about equity, diversity, and inclusion. The Editorial Board had consulted ACRL's EDI Committee and the EDI Subcommittee of the Value of Academic Libraries Committee for the trends questions. Editorial Board members will update the instructions for the 2020 survey during the summer. The Editorial Board sponsored a program ([New Metrics for Telling](#)

[Your Library's Story](#)) at ACRL Together Wherever. There were 400 attendees and two-thirds of them gave very positive feedback.

- b. ACRL/LLAMA Building Resources -- (Eric Kidwell) Building lib guides. Looking for ways to improve publicity. Working on surveys: benchmarking (seating, spaces).

Renovations, trends. What is the current environment. Finish survey sometime this fall. Editorial board is deciding how to approach survey

- c. C&RL – (Wendi Arant Kaspar): April 2020 – Special issue on the Value of Academic Libraries published (thanks to guest editors Sarah Goek and Jill Becker).

June 9, 2020 - Editor participated in panel for ACRL Together Wherever virtual conference on June 9 at 11am: Open Peer Review: Considerations for Authors and Publishers, coordinated and facilitated by Julie Gelfand with: Emily Ford, Wendi Arant Kaspar, Daniel Mack and Zoe Wake Hyde.

In Progress projects

- Drafting of a Data Policy for C&RL – in progress effort led by Minglu Wang with Chris Cox, Tony Stamatoplos and Brad Warren
 - discussion at next editorial board meeting
 - Proposed framework for author roles as defined in journal - taskforce led by Sarah Fitzgerald with John Budd and Penny Beile
 - document included in ALA Connect
 - discussion and vote at editorial board meeting
 - Received a proposal for considering implementation of Registered Reports for C&RL – proposed by Amy Riegelman and signed by numerous.
 - document included in ALA Connect
 - discussion at editorial board meeting
 - Upcoming special issues
 - 2021 – Considering a special issue from papers already accepted on the Evolving Roles of Librarians (or similar topic)
 - 2022 – still planning for special issue on open and equitable scholarship (guest edited by RESEC chairs)
 - Ellen Filgo, social media editor has been working on author podcasts, several of which are complete. Plan is to host on Insider and link from journal.
 - Book review editor transition – Mark Shelton is stepping down after 8 years of service and Emily Drabinski is taking over.
- d. C&RL News (David) – responsive to emerging topics such as COVID-19 and social justice. Reviewing survey data
 - e. CHOICE – (Mark) waiting to see what the fall brings. Webinars, podcasts are gaining a lot of interest. Attendance rates are increasing. Patron Driven is an outreach White papers: ebook collection, supporting scholarly research (summer), with webinars Beginning a racial justice agenda in Choice. Website is being relaunched next month, with a blog section, thematic editor contributors. Amanda Folk has been connecting them with people on ACRL Board.
 - f. CLIPP Notes – (Erin) Located under “College Library Section.” It’s a collection of books for small and mid-sized libraries and content is around policies and

procedures. They have three books in process. OERs, job descriptions and tenure and promotion

- g. Publications in Librarianship – (Erin)#75, *Developing next generation of library leaders* is out and Emily Ford's book about open peer review. Code of conduct is being developed for reviewing. All authors and editors have a choice of doing peer review or open peer review. PIL has four other manuscripts in development.
- h. New Publications Advisory Board (Heidi)– Robust amount of proposals. Where do we need to be expanding our area of coverage? FY20 ACRL published 15 books, 13 webcasts. Chapter/Author internal database- cross-reference author with subject/topic of the book. Airtable database. Title of book, chapter/author, fiscal year. Useful for proposals where a candidate might reach out to other potential collaborators. Suggestion- eventually include authors from ACRL magazines and journals
- i. RBM – Saunders reappointed as editor. Distribute reviews individually and increase the number of reviews. Journal is looking to include more than just special collections librarianship representation, but also cultural heritage, museum information. Board members are interested in RBM become a member benefit. This will need to be voted on by ACRL Board.
- j. Resources for College Libraries – Anne Doherty is reviewing the databases of resources; removing titles from the list. Enlisted the board in analyzing coverage in each subject area. They're developing principles for adding and discarding titles.

5. Updates and announcements

- 6. **Review status of 2019-2020 PCC work plan projects:** In the next couple of weeks, Julia and Kristen will work on the report for this year and create the 2020-2021 PCC work plan. They'll place them in ALA Connect.
- 7. **Brainstorm program proposals for ALA annual 2021:** Mentioned in a report that people don't know much about mentoring for book production, PIL, CLIPP.

Academic Library Trends and Statistics Survey Editorial Board

June 2020 Teleconference Call

Date: June 23, 2020

Time: 2:00-3:00 pm Eastern time

Connection: <https://uky.zoom.us/j/989414332>

Adrian Ho, Chair

Agenda

1. Welcome and introductions
2. Update on [survey participation rate](#): Lindsay (5-10 minutes)
3. Update on [EDI trends questions](#): Jeannette and Tiffany (5-10 minutes)
4. Follow-up on two topics discussed at April meeting: Tracy (5-10 minutes)
 - If a library serves more than one institution, how does it respond to the survey questions?
 - Reliability of the [analysis of the faculty status data](#) done by a group of U of Michigan iSchool students
5. Changes to instructions for 2020 survey: Adrian (10-15 minutes)
 - Please review sections A and B in [this document](#) before the meeting
6. Report of program during ACRL Together Wherever: Devin (5 minutes)
7. Other business (if time permits)

In Attendance:

Beth Bernhardt, Tracy Elloitt, Tiffany Garrett, Adrian Ho (Chair), Terra Jacobson, Janine Kuntz, Scott Lancaster, Linda Miller, Jeannette Pierce, Devin Savage (Vice Chair), Lindsay Thompson, and Nancy Turner

Absent with Regrets:

Georgie Donovan, Jason Dupree, Starr Hoffman, Mary Jane Petrowski, and Caryl Ward

Update on Survey Participation Rate

Lindsay provided a summary of response rates for the 2018 and 2019 surveys, which is shown on next page.

Despite the severe disruptions caused by the coronavirus pandemic, 1,676 libraries responded to the survey (50 fewer than the previous year).

Lindsay noted (in an e-mail to Mary Jane and Adrian) that there were no real issues with the survey but more questions about COUNTER 5 and more requests for asynchronous learning. With the challenges this year due to COVID-19, there will be a need for increased electronic measures although many things are already counted.

Regarding HBCUs, 48 of 101 participated this year. Last year's response rate was 47 out of 101. Only six HBCUs responded to the survey before the editorial board members reached out to them. **Many thanks to all of you for taking the time to encourage HBCUs to participate in the survey!**

2019 response rate:

	Completed Returns	Population	Response Rate (%)
United States	1,642	3,184	51.6
International	34	212	16.0
Combined	1,676	3,396	49.4

Classification	Responses	Total Invitations	Response Rate (%)
Associates	440	1,080	40.7
Baccalaureate	364	910	40.0
Masters	497	900	55.2
Doctorate	374	506	73.9
Combined	1,676	3,396	49.4

2018 response rate:

	Completed Returns	Population	Response Rate (%)
United States	1,680	3,164	53.1
International	46	239	19.2
Combined	1,726	3,403	50.7

Classification	Responses	Total Invitations	Response Rate (%)
Associates	465	1,071	43.4
Baccalaureate	369	933	39.6
Masters	520	910	57.1
Doctorate	372	489	76.1
Combined	1,726	3,403	50.7

Update on EDI Trends Questions

Jeannette and Tiffany invited the ACRL Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Committee to provide feedback on the [EDI trends questions](#). The following changes were subsequently made:

- Q1.2: "Accessibility" was added as an option
- Q2.1: Two more options were added:
 - Conduct periodic reviews of library space to ensure inclusive and/or representative artwork is on the walls
 - Conduct periodic reviews of library space to ensure accessibility for other-abled individuals
- Q3.1: "Rewriting job descriptions..." was changed to "Rewriting position descriptions..."

The Value of Academic Libraries Committee's EDI Subcommittee was also invited to provide feedback on the trends questions. They had no comments or suggestions after reviewing the questions. They said the collected data will be informative and useful to the Value of Academic Libraries Committee.

The trends questions as they currently stand focus on collecting data about library activities. There is no question about the degree of success of such activities.

With the exception of one minor issue brought up during the meeting, editorial board members in general thought the trends questions are ready.

Action item 1

Jeanette and Tiffany will finalize the trends questions for the 2020 survey.

Lindsay pointed out the 2019 ALA-APA Librarian Salary Survey has EDI-related questions, especially on the last page of [this document](#). The collected data might be of interest to EDI groups in ACRL.

Follow-up on Two Topics Discussed at April Meeting

Tracy reported that joint-use libraries aggregate data when responding to survey questions. Some joint-use libraries may double count their collection data unless they use different ILS systems. Overall, this is a non-issue and it is not worth adding a question in the survey.

Regarding the [analysis of the faculty status data](#) done by a group of University of Michigan iSchool students, Tracy consulted Dr. Lili Luo at San José State University School of Information. The survey outputs were descriptive data and there is no reliability or validity issue. The data visualizations are nice, but the analysis would have been more helpful if there were more recommendations and implications about the data. It would be fine to share the report as a white paper.

ACRL could consider sharing the datasets. Researchers might use them to conduct follow-up studies to fill gaps.

Changes to Instructions for 2020 Survey

The IPEDS Advisory Task Force has made recommendations for updating the 2020 survey instructions regarding COUNTER 5 reports. They have also created two FAQ questions for the staffing section of the survey.

There was a brief discussion about collecting data with regard to asynchronous learning materials or online video tutorials created by libraries. Some editorial board members

expressed interest in working together to come up with a definition of the topic. Devin volunteered to form a working group for that.

Action item 2

Devin will provide an update and recommendations on this topic.

There was a recent question from a library about whether consultation services provided by a makerspace should be included for the survey question about consultations. It might be appropriate to update the survey instructions about consultations as needed.

There will be updates to the 2020 survey instructions. More information is forthcoming.

Editorial Board Program at ACRL Together Wherever

There were 400 attendees at the program [New Metrics for Telling Your Library's Story](#), which was hosted by Devin as part of the ACRL Together Wherever on June 11. The recording is available on the [ACRL YouTube channel](#); handouts and discussion are available in the [ACRL Together Wherever ALA Connect Community](#).

Two-thirds of the attendees commented it was an excellent program. Some attendees would like to learn more about storytelling. It might be good to organize a follow-up program on this topic in the future.

ACRL/Core Interdivisional Academic Library Facilities Survey Editorial Board

May 13, 2020 Meeting
Minutes

Anne Marie Casey, Co-Chair
Eric A. Kidwell, Co-Chair

Present: Anne Casey, Erik Kidwell, Charles Forrest, David Zenk, K.T. Vaughan, Kelly Jacobsma, Mary Beth Lock, Mary Jane Petrowski, Nicole Tekulve, Carl Thompson, Lindsay Thompson

Absent: Rachel Besara

1. Board members each reported on changes in their workplaces as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic and tentative plans for reopening. It was great to hear how others are doing.
2. Research Guide Update
 - a. Board members had a discussion about adding a section to the Research Guide to capture information being gathered on adjustments to building spaces as libraries reopen and agreed this was a good idea. **Action:** Members send articles or other information to Anne by June 15.
 - b. Members also agreed to send graphics to Anne so we can have a nicer looking research guide. **Action:** Send graphics to Anne by June 15 and indicate where you would like them placed **or** members can have access to the Research Guide and add graphics themselves. (Ask Eric or Anne to obtain permission from ACRL.)
 - c. Eric suggested we need to publicize the guide widely once we have it updated. **Action:** Send publicity ideas to Eric by June 15.
3. A lively discussion about the survey took place.
 - a. Carl and Lindsay announced that the changes suggested by Board members, Charles in particular, were in place and the survey could be deployed whenever we wish.
 - b. Mary Jane has drafted an invitation but wondered if this was the best time to get the survey out.
 - c. Board members discussed the pros and cons of deploying the survey in the near future, asking if it would capture the information we intended; or, if we wanted, in fact, to capture information related to the crisis; or perhaps, set it up to capture both pre-Covid and now.
 - d. Charles reminded the Board that one of our intentions with this survey was to establish a baseline; so, maybe we change the focus and take a snapshot of the world now,
 - e. More discussion ensued about the fact that the survey is iterative and as long as we explain what we are looking for in the first survey, we can build on that and make changes to the questions, if needed, in future versions.
 - f. Mary Jane asked us to consider planning for a fall launch of the survey.
 - g. All agreed to continue the discussion in a meeting in another two-three weeks.

4. Eric and Anne thanked Charles for his service to this committee and although his term ends in July, we invited him to join us for as long as he would like (and we all hope he does!)
5. Anne and Eric will send out a Doodle poll to determine the best time for the next meeting.

ACRL/Core Interdivisional Academic Library Facilities Survey Editorial Board

May 28, 2020 Meeting
Minutes

Anne Marie Casey, Co-Chair
Eric A. Kidwell, Co-Chair

Discussion centered around marketing for the building survey scheduled to launch in September 2020. Board members discussed creating a webinar to in August to inform the academic library community about the upcoming survey.

- David, Rachel, K.T. and Anne volunteered to develop the webinar
- Discuss first survey and the other pieces that will roll out
- Reassurance will be stressed that participants do not need to answer every question if it is difficult
- Will demonstrate a single library and one with branches
- Can also use the webinar to publicize the Research Guide
- May tap Lindsay or Carl for help in the webinar

C&RL Editorial Board

Virtual Meeting

July 8, 2020, 2:00pm (via zoom)

Wendi Arant Kaspar, Editor

In attendance: Michelle Demeter, David Free, Sarah Fitzgerald, Minglu Wang, Wendi Arant Kaspar, Emily Drabinski, Erin Owens (note: due to lack of clarity from editor, there was confusion about the time of the meeting and some members showed up an hour early and some on time, resulting in no quorum)

- 1) Editor search will be getting under way. The search committee is seeking 2 representatives from the board (who have no interest in applying to be the next editor). Please let David Free know if you are interested in serving as part of that search.
- 2) Just an FYI for the Board. I am going to accept a submission studying sexual harassment in the University of California system. It was rigorously reviewed by 3 reviewers (who all indicated accept) and by the editor. It went through research and approval protocols and is appropriately framed and written. Given the topic and the context of study, it may gain attention in the community and on social media.
- 3) Book review editor transition – Mark Shelton has completed 8 years of service to the journal, stewarding timely and thoughtful book reviews. His efforts have made a huge contribution and contributed to a popular part the journal. Emily Drabinski is taking over as Book Review Editor.
 - Editor and book review editor are planning to manage the book review process through OJS, allowing for better task management and greater transparency.
- 4) Open peer review progress – The editor presented very general summary data (based on 2 questions answered by C&RL's article reviewers) in a panel on Open Peer Review for the ACRL Together Wherever virtual meeting. The specifics were not addressed, although an internal report with more detailed feedback will be forthcoming so the Board can see some of the points and concerns raised. **The report of this feedback will be sent out (in ALA Connect and email).**
 - Board feedback will be sought in ALA Connect about a soft implementation of developmental peer review in OJS. As part of reviewer feedback form, we can insert an option for reviewer to indicate that the paper is a good candidate for developmental review and volunteer to participate. This would, of course, be with author assent.
- 5) Proposed framework for author roles as defined in journal - taskforce led by Sarah Fitzgerald with John Budd and Penny Beile. Proposal was sent out previously and there was positive discussion about the model.
 - **Proposed model will be sent out again in ALA Connect and email** and Board discussion and a vote will be called. If approved, this model will be incorporated in OJS with introduction in an editorial and guidance on web page and libguide.

- 6) Discussion of a Data Policy for C&RL – in progress effort led by Minglu Wang with Chris Cox, Tony Stamatoplos and Brad Warren. Minglu did a summary of existing policies as well as an analysis of the data methods of recently published articles in C&RL (providing a valuable environmental scan of requisite expertise). I encourage the Board to discuss this proposal in ALA Connect view of potential adoption.

- **Proposal**- <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1nUrZsR4LhM7ixfyS0DNMax4-BukTvahH4tcv-IKN3Ro/edit?usp=sharing>
- **policy comparisons** - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1JLoT4Pj6vZr32gUutdpjsT9uDNWu0TC1MVYxNobPFa4/edit#gid=0>
- **article analysis** - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1JLoT4Pj6vZr32gUutdpjsT9uDNWu0TC1MVYxNobPFa4/edit#gid=0>).
- Several points or questions were raised:
 - Is it better to encourage submission to a repository of the author's choice (as we do with accepted articles rather than hosting? David indicates that space considerations for hosting data should not be an issue.
 - Authors should be strongly encouraged to say where data is available or indicate if there is an embargo and when it would be available.
 - Is there need for a separate DOI for any datasets?
 - If we host data, are we taking responsibility for the integrity of the data and the method?

- 7) Received a proposal for considering implementation of Registered Reports for C&RL – proposed by Amy Riegelman and signed by numerous. There was some discussion about this toward the end of the meeting and acknowledgment that there needs to be some additional exploration of what this might mean. There were several preliminary questions raised:

- Would this pre-review of the methods lead to a deluge of methodology submissions?
- If so, how would the load be handled?
- Also, we would need to have a more granular topical expertise for reviewers in order to identify reviewers who could assess specific methodologies (i.e., systematic reviews, content analysis, network analysis, etc). It would require a detailed list of research methodologies to incorporate for submission and reviewer tagging.
- Would authors have an assumption that a positive review of the method would be a likely acceptance of the paper?
- **Document will be sent out in ALA Connect and in email.** Please review and comment. This is a new area for the journal so I would like to lean on the board for their expertise.

Editor will start sending out notes and appoints in email as well as posting in ALA Connect, as a convenience and for more clarity.

C&RL News Editorial Board

2020 Annual Meeting Agenda
Friday, June 19th at 2pm Eastern / 1pm Central / noon Mountain

Zoom: <https://umich.zoom.us/j/6842660972>

To call in:

Meeting ID 684 266 0972

Dial by your location

- +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
- +1 646 876 9923 US (New York)
- +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

Heidi Steiner Burkhardt, Chair

Agenda:

- Introductions
- Adoption of agenda and appointment of recorder
- Transitions
 - Cycling off: Nicole Pagowsky
 - Joining: Heather Campbell, Erin Cassity, Ann Medaille
- Editor's [report and discussion](#) (Connect file), including:
 - Review of recent issues
 - Report on readership statistics and trends
 - Future covers and potential topics and authors
- Review [Annual Work Plan](#) (Connect file) progress and impact of pandemic
 - Wrapping up 2018 Readership Survey
 - Back file social media highlights
- Discuss ideas and possible needs for 2020-2021 Work Plan
 - We've carried the same items over the last 2 years
- Other items of interest
- Action items

2020 Annual Meeting Minutes

Friday, June 19th at 2pm Eastern / 1pm Central / noon Mountain

In attendance: Heidi, Julie, David, Amanda, Leo

Regrets: Nicole, Becky

Adoption of agenda and appointment of recorder: Heidi

Transitions:

- Cycling off: Nicole Pagowsky
- Joining: Heather Campbell, Erin Cassity, Ann Medaille

Editor's report and discussion

- Review of recent issues
 - In sum: things are rolling. Production staff had to adjust workflow to remote work, but they've adjusted and got them published
 - Turned around some COVID-ralted submissions quickly. There will be more July
 - Fasttracked things that were a fit
 - There are also some things we've held because they're not as relevant right now
 - We've had good focus on social justice and DEIA, but will source some more. ACRL thinking what to do more across the board
- Report on readership statistics and trends
 - There was a dip in readership early in COVID times
 - Readership has been back up
 - Mix of people who are busier than ever with those who need things to do
 - Paid print subscriptions are down a little bit, but we're focused more on membership and online readership
- Future covers and potential topics and authors
 - Shifting labor in COVID times, what people are doing. Leo mentioned the job bank they're doing at Penn
 - If you hear about people doing interesting work, encourage them to pitch ideas and write
- Our last financial report was from January, so we do not have more recent details
 - Print and ads sales are OK for the FY because a lot was already sold through the end of the fiscal year before the pandemic hit
 - Classified ads posted to ALA Joblist (a key revenue stream) have greatly declined due to the pandemic, but if parts of the libraries sector pick up, that still benefits us

- Financial ramifications of the pandemic may trickle down to ACRL after they happen at institutions, so it's hard to know what the overall impact will be

Review of the [Annual Work Plan](#) progress

- Wrapping up 2018 Readership Survey
 - [Analysis of answers to question 10 \("What topics would you like to see covered in C&RL News"\)](#)
 - Julie and student worker
 - Casual analysis of free text responses
 - High number of answers about professional issues, a lot about jobs and hiring. And these are things people are still going to be thinking about
 - Make sure everything is in the Connect folder and then call it
- Back file social media highlights
 - Ellen, Social Media Editor, is juggling a lot
 - Nothing happened with this one because of COVID
 - Table for immediate future

Discuss ideas and possible needs for 2020-2021 Work Plan

- Our usual item of helping scout content
- Dig into the professional issues themed free text responses from the survey and see if there are any topics we should pull out and source content for
- Organize ALA Connect space

Other items of interest

- Extracted the 40ish issues that are missing from the digitized backfiles from the bound volumes and they'll finish up the digitization once they're back in the office and things spin back up

Action items

- Heidi will write Annual Report and share for review

Meeting ended at 2:45pm. Respectfully submitted by Heidi Burkhardt.

CHOICE

Editorial Board Meeting Minutes June 5, 2020, 12-1:30pm (EDT), via WebEx

Board Members present: Jose Aguinaga, Dalia Corkrum, Tracy Coyne (recorder), Amanda Folk (Chair), Melody Herr, Diane Klare, Bethany McGowan, Stacy Reardon

Board Members absent: Elizabeth Fronk, Tashia Munson

From Choice, present: Mark Cummings, Mark Derks, Rachel Hendrick, Pam Marino, Bill Mickey, Deb Villavicencio-Eschinger

Preliminaries:

The meeting was called to order by Amanda at 12:05pm (EDT). Tracy was appointed recorder. Dalia reviewed the January 21, 2020, Board minutes and asked for any corrections. There were no corrections and the minutes were accepted.

Publisher's Report:

Mark: thanks, everyone, for attending today's meeting, especially in light of current events. Budget: I'm happy to announce a budget surplus for next year. The declines in subscription revenue were not due to COVID-19 but are a normal flow that we expected. Ad revenue is more of a problem; a lot of our advertisers are declining to purchase ads for the magazine but this is offset by newsletters, white papers, and podcasts that are also sponsored. We expect webinars to do well next year; this year they have produced \$200k in revenue. Attendance at some webinars has exceeded 1,000 individuals. Licensing revenues we expect to fall –everybody is blaming COVID-19. Also fewer books are being sent to our office so there is an 8% decline in revenue but expenses have not been so great, which helps – an \$88k improvement. Fore FY21 we are showing a \$50k surplus driven by furloughs. **Board member question:** are furloughs at ACRL or at ALA? **Mark:** across ALA. Choice will be closed for five weeks next year. Choice is not in a bad position, all things considered – a shining star actually. Regarding the strategic outlook – we included slides in the report for the benefit of our new board members, Stacy and Elizabeth: they show a familiar decline in library budgets and so a decline in the use of reviews and acquiring new materials for libraries. So, we developed something else: for those who look for other content (some 20-25,000 regular readers) we are offering open access, no charge content, which is underwritten by sponsors. We hope to offset the losses in subscriptions to Choice magazine and Choice Reviews. So we've been focusing on that with vigorous development of white papers, blog content, and webinars. We have a newly rebuilt Choice360.org site and will focus less on our subscription products and more on profitable literature. The rebranding of Choice360 gives us an opportunity for a broader and more diverse audience. Collection development was our mission for the first 50 years but is no longer viable by itself to sustain us.

Editorial report and discussion:

Mark's comments on the editorial report: like you, we have been coping with sequestration and economic and social fallout. If you've read the editorial report you can see we've tried to provide the

library community with free resources during this period and in general to be a medium for communication to exchange info (e.g. our Facebook group). We've had great webinar audiences: attendance jumped from 38 to 55% and podcast attendance is up 20% over last year. We are planning to skip the August 2020 issue of Choice because we haven't received enough books either in print or electronic format in order to make up a full issue. The postal service says we can skip an issue without losing our privileged status.

Bill's editorial report: We launched our white papers two years ago in close consultation with ACRL's administration in developing a research program where Choice assigns market reports on trends happening in the academic library space. We've averaged two reports per year – some for the entire Choice audience and others that feature literature reviews and field reviews. All of these we attempt to have underwritten by commercial sponsors – they are big ticket items and are expensive to sponsor. We ensure that editorial content is independent and there is no influence of sponsors permitted – we have a careful production process. We conceive of topics on our own and writers develop them without any input from sponsors. We are always looking for topics important to academic librarians. We are not just focusing on collection development issues –we have developed a much bigger audience through webinars and newsletters –which has broadened our audience. We are looking for research that would appeal to this broader audience. We are in the field – how can we make sure we fit into the broader research effort that ACRL already performs – what is the space that is unique to us but that Choice can carve out as its own? How do we feel about Choice performing its own research in the context of what ACRL already does? **Board member comment:** It's a great idea – other publishing units in ACRL do things like what we'd see in *College & Research Library News*. If we keep it to a broad range of academic librarians, then our content will be very useful. **Mark's comment:** ACRL has tremendous expertise in academic library issues. Our task has been to not duplicate what experts in Chicago do, maybe provide even a meta level to what they do. So, for example, institutional repositories is a big issue and it's one reason why we have focused on things like that. **Bill's comment:** Choice is able to be reactive to emerging trends and development ideas for emerging trends--very contemporary issues--and produce it with a speed that will help get it to market fast, so they are very topical. **Board member question:** Do we coordinate with ACRL to make sure we do not overlap with them? **Mark's answer:** No, we really don't; because of our different organizational models, we operate in parallel but separate spheres. **Board member:** OCLC has lots of resources but it would be nice if we could work together with OCLC's Constance Malpas. **Mark and Bill:** We are open to possibly working with them. **Bill:** we'd benefit from broader outreach. A driver though is that we have these reports sponsored so we're not sure how that would work with OCLC. **Mark's comment:** Yes, our specific charge is to generate revenue: about \$350-400k per year to ACRL, so we are not encouraged to do things that do not derive revenue. **Board member question:** Can we use the success of the webinars to help launch research? (Board member said they were a co-host of a webinar last year and would have loved to have continued working on the topic.) **Bill's reply:** Yes, good idea – we could potentially be more opportunistic in that regard. We've done this in the past where conference panels have turned into webinars. **Mark's comment:** Yes, it has been our intention to leverage it to other platforms. So, yes good idea, but it depends on the money issue. **Board member questions:** Who initiates the topics—the sponsor or Choice? And do we have a steady stable of sponsors that we use and how hard are we trying to recruit new sponsors? **Bill's reply:** we tend to want to tease out topics that we get at meetings like this and we want to make sure we can fulfill the vision of these reports internally, then we think about who would be an appropriate sponsor. The universe of sponsors we work with is fairly small. These are expensive reports to produce but we are always looking for new sponsors. **Mark's reply:** We take both approaches: many ideas are generated by the editorial group but there must be a marrying of the two; this is difficult in times of market consolidation. Overall, I think we have 100 companies that irregularly advertise with us. ProQuest is the

big one we ask. We had extensive discussions with the ACRL Board about this a year ago: once a topic is chosen, the sponsor involvement drops away; they don't get survey participants' names, they don't see a draft of the work. There is a very rigid separation. **Board member comment:** Seems we keep going to library vendors. Could we go to the *New York Times* for example? **Mark's comment:** We've created a new podcast called Patron Driven and our advertising sales manager has approached major firms in the Houston area because our first episode features Houston and the topic of equity in education. So, we do make efforts to find advertisers outside the library world. **Bill's comment:** Yes, we break out of our circle of usual suspects but in execution it is difficult. Choice is not a known quantity outside of academic circles. Patron Driven is a good example – it's a brand-new podcast. The Authority File is a weekly podcast, about three years old, and is enormously successful; it is a regular revenue driver for us. It is very question-and-answer driven. It features book authors, experts in the field, and is conversational. Patron Driven is narrative, with a story-driven editorial format. Our first season will be about Lone Star College in Texas, a community college on the Kingwood campus, which was flooded by Hurricane Harvey in 2017. The library was rebuilt into a learning commons with a different physical setup providing different services to the community. The process of rebuilding the library is fascinating. We expect to launch Patron Driven in late June. We are reaching out to local Houston companies for sponsors and also library-centric-manufacturers and vendors who normally would not advertise with Choice. **Mark's comment:** An effort to improve the visibility of Choice began with creation of content not oriented toward collection development so that we'd attract instructors and not just librarians. We'd also reach out to sponsors beyond the library sector. Pam Marino's job is to introduce us to potential sponsors (like in Houston). **Board member's comment:** Here's an idea: online education – a very contemporary topic; Canvas and Blackboard may be good for topics. **Mark's question:** Is Blackboard in descent? **Several board members' comment:** Yes. **Board member:** We moved to Brightspace recently. **Bill:** coincidentally, we are thinking of how academic libraries move online – this is for a webinar topic. **Board member:** One issue that many community colleges have is physical reserves and how to make them available this Fall. Also, Open Electronic Resources (OER) might be a good topic. **Mark's comment:** We had a major OER plan two years ago that we dropped. **Board member comment:** I agree that OER would be logical next step on how to use those reserve resources. **Mark:** We'd also like to ask if any of you would like to write content for the blog. **Board member:** Another idea, on a meta level: so often ACRL publications get to the nitty gritty but at a broader level I wonder if we could research the issue of race in the library profession – where we were and where we are – could that be valuable? **Mark:** Yes ALA is intensely focused on this, particularly now. I can think of no more timely topic and I would like to have further conversations on this. **Board member:** I would be interested in working on this (noted by Bill). **Board member question:** What about subscriptions to LinkedIn Learning and Coursera – I'm curious to know what other libraries are subscribing to. Do we know who uses them? **Mark:** There again, there are sponsors who might work. **Board member:** I am working on the National Endowment for the Humanities' legal literacies; I have gotten a lot of interest. **Bill:** we pay the folks who write these reports and it's not cheap. We are relaunching Choice360.org and we are shifting the purpose of the website. In 2017, it was launched to showcase our products—we had a marketing goal that was broad but was product-focused; this latest iteration will be targeting a much broader audience and will showcase our free resources at the top of the website. We will make it a destination site rather than focus on how they can subscribe to Choice Reviews. So, the focus will shift and will be dynamic; viewers will see new content each day. **Bill:** Our blog, The Open Stacks, up to today it's been where we highlight review-oriented content and whenever we do new content we showcase it there but for the new site we want to open the blog even more and have regular contributors where we feature experts in the field on trends happening in the academic world. We want to launch with several channels where we could recruit contributors and feature topics like Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion. What ideas do we have? We are inspired by what *The Scholarly Kitchen* does – they have a stable of experts who contribute regularly

to the blog and have daily updates and postings. Their content is perceived as vigorous and dynamic; that would be our aim. **Board member:** Craig Gibson at Ohio State would be good for the Teaching and Learning sector. **Bill:** We are looking for people who are willing and able to engage in a conversation. Also, we'd hope that person could bring in guest contributors; the regular contributor would function like an editor for their section and then bring in guest speakers. **Board member comment:** there are so many excellent bloggers already – can we find some on copyright and collection development? Existing bloggers might be interesting in expanding their audience. **Bill:** We could feature that – do some curation of other bloggers. Part of our motivation though is to have a stable of contributors who would be unique to us. In the long run, we want to be able to establish our own personalities and grow them ourselves. **Board member:** how about Jill Grunewald at Overdrive? **Bill:** I agree that Jill is definitely plugged in and we could try contacting her. **Bill:** Everyone, please keep in mind your coworkers as well; we would be happy to hear your suggestions.

Mark's wrap up: I'd like all of us to jot down three suggestions for blogs or white papers topics or for people; this can be informal. We would like to hear from all of you especially because we are not meeting face to face today. Zoom is fine but not the same. Our experience is that ongoing projects are being handled from home well but what is lacking are events like eating together and getting ideas from our conversations. What ensures our value is new ideas and new platforms and we are relying on you, the Board, for that because you are closer to it; the ideas have to come from practicing librarians like you.

Mark: We would like to thank Amanda for all her work as chair. Amanda provided wonderful continuity from her predecessor. We also would like to thank Tashia for her participation over the past two years. We welcome our new members, Stacy and Elizabeth, to the Board. We will see you virtually in January 2021. We are not sure if ACRL will occur next April; that is still to be determined.

Mark: any further business? **Board member:** can we create a Google doc so we can contribute collectively? **Bill and Mark:** Great Idea, yes.

Amanda (Board Chair): I will coordinate with Mark and produce a report to submit to ACRL in July.

Mark: Thanks to all.

Adjournment:

The meeting ended at 1:24pm (EDT).

Respectfully submitted,
Tracy Coyne, Northwestern University

New Publications Advisory Board

Virtual ALA Annual Meeting – Draft Minutes
June 30, 2020

Courtney McDonald, Chair

Attendees

Michael Levine-Clark, Courtney McDonald, Roe McKernan, Lutishoor Salisbury, James Donovan, Erin Nevius

Regrets

Craig Gibson, Susanne Markgren, Gemmicka Piper

Agenda

1. **Welcome and introductions**

We welcomed James Donovan, who will begin his appointment on the NPAB effective July 1, 2020.

2. **Review of the Annual report**

Erin presented the [Annual report](#). In response to a question about the graph showing sources of revenue, she noted that there are about 100 approval plan customers. We are seeing a move from print book sales to institutional e-book sales, particularly through ProQuest. Erin is in talks with Overdrive and a group in the UK (Gardners) to expand e-book access / sales options. She noted that our top selling titles are from the front list, which is a good sign. Additional information shared included that next year (as a conference year, with attendant increase of workload for ACRL staff), Erin budgeted for 14 titles.

She also noted that, following up from her report at our Midwinter meeting, the Publishing with ACRL website has been revised:
<http://www.ala.org/acrl/publications/publishing>. There was general agreement that the Board likes the changes and that it is much improved.

3. **New business**

- a. **The ACRL chapter author database.** We've started tracking all contributors to our books, and they can be cross-referenced by title/subject matter: We can use this database to potentially match people with similar research interests for new books. You can access and sort here:
<https://airtable.com/shrE9BsDnlTpkxaY2/tblCNxbXkv7rKXkvk>.

The Board was impressed with this new database, and had good discussion. Observation that the database provides a great sense of scope of the publishing program; would it be helpful to potential authors, others, to understand just how many edited volumes there are and how many authors - and this is only going back to FY16 (and of course doesn't include single author volumes). Someone else noted that this would better enable opportunities to connect authors writing on the same or complementary topics; invite prolific authors to write their own

book, etc. There was a suggestion to include the titles of the chapters themselves as well as the book titles. There was a question as to whether this could have utility for being able to provide a snapshot of the range and size of edited volumes and the number of contributors to be able to help editors polish their proposals.

b. Marketing in the absence of conferences

The Board discussed ideas for marketing e-books, given that in-person connections at conferences, and the ability to visit the ALA store, etc., have been a big driver for sales in the past, but present circumstances have changed. Ideas shared included: Targeted marketing to libraries to obtain electronic access to print volumes in the collection; discussion about lots of potential models for discounts to individuals, groups, having limited-time free offerings, programs for ACRL members specifically, etc. Note that offering content for free would need to take into consideration author's rights, etc.

4. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 12:56pm MDT.

Respectfully submitted,
Courtney McDonald

RBM Editorial Board

conference (video)
Wednesday, 15 July 2020, 12pm CST

Meeting opened 12pm CDT:

Present on the call:

Board members: Richard Saunders (editor), Jason Bourgeois, Anna Chen, Meredith Evans, Pam Hackbart-Dean, Matt Knight, Martha Lawler, Sarah Horowitz, Jennifer Sheehan.

Ex officio members: David Free

Not present on call:

Board members: Megan Barnard, Jeremy Brett, Taylor Dwyer, Leila Rod-Welch

Ex officio members: Erin Ellis, Julia Gelfand (PCC chair), Kara Malenfant, Dawn Mueller, Erin Nevius

Minutes

Welcome and introduction: new members Taylor Dwyer, Jeremy Brett, Jolie Braun

Review and approval of 22 January 2020 minutes

Lawler mentioned that she was not listed among those not attending the January meeting. Saunders made the correction. Sheehan moved to approve the minutes with the correction; seconded by Evans. Approved by vote.

Reviews Editor appointment update: Saunders

Saunders reported on the actions subsequent to the discussion and vote on Review Editor in January. Jennifer Sheehan steps into Review Editor position. The appointment for volunteer Kathryn Puerini was unable to be completed, so Sheehan will serve alone. Willing to entertain appointment of an assistant reviews editor at a later date.

Development of a digital reviews portal: Sheehan

Sheehan talked through a prospective portal for collecting and collating data from review volunteers. Free noted the C&RL reviews editor recently instituted something similar. Sheehan will look at it as a prospective model. Continued on to talk briefly about increasing *RBM*'s capacity for publishing reviews by creating digital publication portal hosted through the journal's OJS software.

Committee on the journal as an RBMS membership benefit: Bourgeois, Saunders

Bourgeois noted an interest in seeing *RBM* become an RBMS membership benefit as a mechanism to increase readership. Reported that subsequent information into the journal's circumstances removes much of that interest. Saunders reviewed his approaching Dawn Mueller with the question of what would be involved. She provided figures that *RBM* subscriptions total 200–300 and that RBMS membership is above 1600. If a copy of the journal became a

membership benefit the print-distribution cost would be substantively greater than the ARCL program budget for the publication. Free confirmed that table of contents information is emailed to RBMS members at publication. Saunders and Bourgeois both feel the journal has reached about as far as it can given its budget and size limitations.

Board members concerns and discussion

- Sheehan asked about an issue or article documenting special collections' response to COVID matters. Evans noted that a variety of efforts at individual institutions, including different efforts, data collection mapping. Horowitz recommended approaching the Library of Congress's Teaching with Primary Sources group for possible input. Lawler recommended the technical services group as well. Hackbart-Dean suggested a group with the acronym LAMP or LAMPS but will find and forward a link to the editor. [Librarians, Archivists, and Museum Professionals in the History of the Health Sciences, LAMPHHS]
- Bourgeois: asks about status of minority-service thematic issue. Saunders and Evans reviewed efforts to spark contributions. Personal contacts and widely distributed call for papers generated one submission, whose author was unwilling to accept editorial input. The journal's editor remains willing (and eager) to publish but unable to drive submissions.

Schedule next meeting (January 2021):

Tentatively slated Wednesday, 20 January 2021, noon ALA time

Resources for College Libraries Editorial Board

(an ACRL Publications committee) for ALA Annual was held on June 15, 2020 (12-1p ET) via video conferencing software.

Attendees: Jeff Bond, Mark Cummings (Choice Editor + Publisher), Alice Daugherty, Anne Doherty (staff liaison) Joe Mocnik, Adrian Morales, Tammera Race (board chair)m, Tim Ream

Introductions. Alice Daugherty volunteered to serve as secretary for the meeting.

A) Anne Doherty provided a short summary of RCL and updates of current project statuses.

Highlights follow:

- Arts and Humanities subjects are undergoing peer-review this year
- New editors have joined the team
- New linguistics subject collection to be published in the fall
- Reducing the footprint of RCL was a conversation at the fall meeting in December 2019 which led to the kick-off of a comprehensive holistic deselection project to reduce collection size. September 2021 is the deadline for the 15 month project.
- Bowker Book Analysis System upgrades are scheduled for release in late summer

B) Mark Cummings provided a financial report with an accompanying slide deck. He stated that he hadn't received updated financial information from ALA since before January 2020. The budget is a little less than last year. There is uncertainty if the launch of the redesigned BBAS will impact the current budget forecasts.

The Board entered into discussion on the following workplan activity projects: **Database Testing and Editorial Recruitment Efforts.**

C) Database testing by board members was the first topic discussed. Anne prompted dialogue with question prompts, such as "What did this activity look like for each board member? What modifications to the activity, if any, are needed? Should the board discontinue activity or create a new activity?"

The general consensus was that the testing of the database was informative to the board members. Some board members tested the resource more than once. Board members want their feedback to RCL to be helpful to those that work on the back-end development. Anne confirmed that board member feedback assisted with "bug fixes."

Board members suggested ways to promote the primary features and functionality of RCL:

- Add marketing emphasis on the scope of content for 2- and 4-year colleges
- Develop a few high-priority use-case scenarios to use within promotional material
- Provide multimodal instructional material, such as short video clips or "quick to digest" written material
- Ultimately, the product needs to be intuitive to use without instruction.

There was discussion about how RCL is used and whether marketing could be aimed towards faculty and students that could benefit from using RCL in their research or assignments.

Since many institutions are looking for accessibility compliance in their resources, the board also expressed concern about the level of ADA accessibility of the RCL interface. The probability of RCL having a VPAT (voluntary product accessibility template) was mentioned. [Note: Anne uploaded the current RCL VPAT to the board's ALA Connect file directory following the meeting.]

There was discussion comparing RCL and its functions to other collection tools with assessment features (GreenGlass) or core collection lists (GOBI).

Board Action: The issue to continue database testing as a board function was tabled and not voted on. Tammera requested any board opinions on this matter be sent to her as the 2020-2021 workplan is developed.

- D) The second topic discussed dealt with recruitment efforts. On any given year Anne is filling an estimated 50 slots. There is an ongoing need to find librarians and faculty as subject editors and reviewers. Anne has earlier discussion notes from the board regarding recruitment, but hasn't codified specific plan activity. It was noted that in the previous year the board was given a more direct approach to recruit reviewers.

Discussion on what future board support of editorial recruitment should look like followed. Suggestions included continued targeting of tenure-track librarians and providing them with a letter of thanks. A letter of thanks is provided to reviewers and in addition, Anne provides recognition of reviewers' efforts with department heads or supervisors upon requests.

Suggestions from the midwinter meeting included recruitment on listservs such as consortium lists, regional lists, and leadership lists (like to deans), etc. It was noted that possible board contributions could include compiling representative lists for the above recruiting channels.

Some recruitment relies on personal invitations to individuals holding secondary degrees or those that have published in that discipline as well as those involved in ACRL section leadership.

A brief summary of workplan activities with accomplished target goals was provided by Tammera.

- A motion to accept the 2019-2020 workplan report as written was made by Jeff
- Adrian to second
- The vote tally was favorable with all present parties voting yes. No negative votes were received.

Board action: The board voted to accept the 2019-2020 workplan report as written.

Before the meeting concluded a special thanks was given to outgoing members: Joe, Tim, KYmberly, Wenli

And new members Chelsea, Jennifer, Stephen, Shaundra were welcomed!

The meeting closed.



Association of College and Research Libraries

Research and the Scholarly Environment Committee (ReSEC)

2020 ALA Annual Meeting

Friday, June 26, 2020

3:00 – 5:00 ET / 2:00 – 4:00 CT / 1:00 – 3:00 MT / 12:00 – 2:00 PT

[note: agenda does not add up to full 2 hours]

Via Zoom

<https://viriniatech.zoom.us/j/98402363156?pwd=L3hLK1VKZkpLNkg4UIZoZkxiVINTUT09>

Meeting ID: 984 0236 3156

Password: 893895

Nathan Frank Hall, Chair
Charlotte Roh, Vice-Chair

Agenda

1. Preliminaries (Nathan Hall, Chair Charlotte Roh)

10 minutes

a. Introductions

Attendees: Nathan Hall, Charlotte Roh, Abigail Goben, Allison Langham-Putrow, Amanda Nichols-Hess, Amy Nurnberger, Ashley Sergiadis, Cynthia Ippoliti, Cynthia Orozco, Deb Feisst, Erin Owens, Erin Nevius, Jennifer Chan, MD Galvin, Mel DeSart, Ryan Otto, Sandra De Groote, Sandra Aya Enimil, Shane Wallace, Thomas Padilla, Willa Tavernier, Will Cross

b. New vice-chair Thomas Padilla, and review of selection process

Appointments Committee had initially appointed someone without a background in scholcomm, and this took a while to rectify. Please note that, in the midst of a pandemic, even with processes in place, things can go wrong but things can be fixed.

Also it's unusual to not have some people on the committee continue for a second term, will work with Erin Nevius to address.

c. Agenda overview

d. Board updates

Budget shows there will be furloughs for staff

No second round of SC Research Agenda grants

Committee has done great work in aligning to EDI efforts especially in this current civil rights moment/movement

e. Approval of [2020 MW minutes](#)

MD Galvin moved to approve the minutes

Mel DeSart seconded

Approved

2. Scholarly Communication Discussion Group / ACRL-SPARC Forum update **5 minutes**

(Mel DeSart & Erin Owens)

ALA is moving all the list-servs off Sympa and to ALA Connect

Working on template for an email to everyone

Renaming because it's broader than just the ACRL scholarly communication discussion group

Everyone will have to join an ACRL community on ALA Connect

- Problematically worded as "members" meaning members of ALA Connect, not necessarily dues-paying ALA members
- Non-ALA members can create a free account on ALA Connect and then join a community

SPARC Forum might still happen this summer, have a list of potential speakers and looking at last week of July or first week of August

RFI policy response – Allison Langham-Putrow is rolling off and we're looking for someone new.

This is an intense and sporadic role, incredible learning role.

3. Roadshows updates

15 minutes

a. Scholarly Communications Roadshow (Will Cross)

Was not able to host this year due to the pandemic but will honor that commitment going forward for subsidized institutions from spring 2020 (already awarded).

Have proposed that subsidy be used for HBCUs, Hispanic-serving institutions, and tribal colleges. Approved by the Board but on hold for fiscal year 2021 until the current budget issues are sorted out.

b. Research Data Management Roadshow (Abigail Goben)

Had one roadshow in the spring at the University of Kentucky, and it went beautifully with exactly the right audience, good feedback.

Abigail is rolling off as presenter-coordinator, and 3 of the presenters have turned down the new (revised) contract.

c. RDM Presenter Selection

4. Research Agenda updates (Amanda Nichols Hess) **10 minutes**

- a. Review of project updates and timeline and budget adjustments due to COVID-19

Most of the grant funding has not been spent, so some money might get sent back to ACRL.

- Could be repurposed into another cycle of grants, as would typically go back into committee budget

Researchers have done a great job of pivoting and considering their research in different ways.

- b. Working with Editor of *C&RL* to publish a special issue on findings/outcomes of research grants (or other research projects) – 2022

- c. ACRL 2021 [virtual?] panel slot reserved for research agenda grant recipients

There is one research team that has pushed back their timeline and won't be able to present, but others will be ready.

5. *CR&L News* column update (Pamella Lach, Wayne Bivens-Tatum, & Thomas Padilla)

5 minutes

- a. Pamella rolling off. Thomas moving to vice-chair. Wayne has 1 year left, for staggered term.
- b. Need 2 volunteers for column editor, to be guided by Wayne

An opportunity to elevate voices that aren't typically heard, to advance the EDI goals of the committee and have an impact on author careers.

6. Domain updates **25 minutes**

- a. ARL (Judy Ruttenberg, Prog Dir for Strategic Initiatives) (invited for 3:30)

ARL currently has several open positions

- Director of Information Policy
- Program Analyst for Scholars and Scholarship

Copyright, fair use, and digital lending is of particular interest to these positions and ARL

Sent statement urging publishers to drop their suit against Internet Archive

White paper coming out about CONTU Guidelines

Task force with Canadian libraries (CARL) to look at cross-border lending under Marrakesh

Treaty – accessibility remains a core interest of the policy portfolio

Recommendations for community commons for machine-readable data will be out in the summer

Working with Association of University Presses for business models in these financial times

Statement with commitment to anti-racism and institutions are asked to look at their own work to ensure accountability

Reports are coming out on collaboration between CNI, Educause, and ARL around data, see

<https://www.arl.org/news/arl-cni-educause-release-stewarding-the-scholarly-and-cultural-record-fourth-installment-of-emerging-technologies-report/>

b. SPARC (Nick Shockey) (invited for 3:45)

Implementing a DEI review with DeEtta Jones, looking at concrete steps for accountability

White House RFI on open access – went through all the responses on the OSTP website

Talking to congressional representatives about open access

Heartening to see McGraw-Cengage merger not go through

Bundling data analytics with subscription services is deeply concerning, see

<https://infrastructure.sparc.open.org>

SPARC/ACRL Forum will be around negotiations

Open Access Week and OpenCon: What makes the most sense with COVID-19, will share more publicly as confirmed.

7. Year-end reporting and future work plan ideas (Committee Discussion) **30 minutes**

a. Context of pandemic/budget and police violence against BIPOC

b. Data Management Roadshow

c. Research Agenda – how to continue to advance in current context

d. EL project teams

i. Project proposals due early-mid fall

<http://www.ala.org/educationcareers/emerging-leaders-project-proposal-process>

- ii. scope of expectations - to identify right balance of team's independences and creativity, but with adequate support from committee.
- iii. Need volunteers to propose, and to liaise with ELs

8. Wrap up (Nathan)

5 minutes

- a. Division level committee year end work report template
 - i. Every year we do work plan and annual report on committee activities that Board uses for planning activities

Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee

Annual Meeting Minutes

Mon, 6/29, 4-5pm ET / 1-2pm PT
via Zoom

Attendees: Nicole E. Brown (Chair), Alex R. Hodges (Vice-Chair), Jaena Alabi, Joe Askins, Andrea Baer, Ian Barba, Quetzalli Barrientos, Cara Berg, Melissa Mallon, Meg Meiman, Sara Miller, Christopher Sweet, Jane Nichols, Robin Brown Lindsay Matts-Benson

Announcements

- Leadership for 2020-21: Alex Hodges (Chair); Meg Meiman (Vice-Chair)
- Committee [roster](#)
- Framework RoadShow presenter-Coordinator position appointment coming soon.
Thanks to Cara Berg and Quetzalli Barrientos for serving on the selection panel.
- End-of-Year Report due July 15; after this meeting Nicole will contact Team Leads

Agenda

1. **Introductions** (Name, continuing on SLILC or not, **one word** for how you're feeling today)
2. Breakout Rooms (by Team): **Priorities Discussion** (Refer to [Work Plan](#))
 - a. What must we continue (1-3 things)?

Prof Dev (Cara): Focus on webinars on anti-racist pedagogy; collaborate with DLS on online pedagogy PD

OER (Meg): Develop set of practices for inclusive online instruction (collaborate with the PD group)

Pubs (Melissa): *C&RL News Framework* column and continuity communicating with the authors; *Keeping Up With...* column to disseminate focused essays on our topics of interest; Inclusive Pedagogy book proposal.

Engagement (Sara): website updates; sunset listserv; determine who is underserved by ACRL/scholarships via a plan/outline (incorporating other goals)
 - b. What could we stop doing?

Prof Dev (Cara): Stay online; no in-person meetings.

OER (Meg): Don't work in a silo; collaborate with others.

Melissa (Pubs): Bibliographies (better, more targeted time to share resources)

Engagement (Sara): Rather than give up, condense/re-frame other goals.
3. Think-Group-Share: **Capacity Discussion**
 - a. Reflect: What is your personal capacity to contribute to ACRL service work in the coming year? What issues do you foresee? What solutions come to mind? (3 minutes)
 - b. Share in Breakout Rooms (4-5 people, randomly generated)
 - c. Report back
 - i. Consider the impact of furlough days and related issues.
 - ii. There are so many unknowns ahead.
 - iii. Re-visit this question semi-regularly.
 - iv. Librarians will have to understand how their professional interests and eventual responsibilities work together.

- v. Capacities feel like they've been met.
- vi. Use time for working meetings (i.e. use time productively rather than reporting out).
- vii. Create a separate category of social online meetings (assuming not required but ideal to build teamliness and trust).
- viii. Build concrete tasks to avoid confusion. (Alex: ??? The work plan does this, does it not?)
- ix. It's hard to tell what will happen.
- x. Allow for flexibility in the work plan as the "chaos demon" reigns around us. Melissa Mallon is responsible for this term! :)



Association of College and Research Libraries

Value of Academic Libraries Committee

Virtual Meeting

Friday, June 19, 2020, 1:00pm CDT

Duration: 1 hour

Joining Instructions:

Meeting Name: ACRL Value of Academic Libraries Committee Virtual Meeting

Join Zoom Meeting URL: <https://kansas.zoom.us/j/95462353996>

Password: 816901

Committee Roster:

Jill Becker (Chair, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020)

Dr. Amanda L. Folk (Vice-chair, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020)

Dr. Rebecca Croxton (Member, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2021)

Beate Gersch (Member, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2021)

Dr. Megan Jane Oakleaf (Member, July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2020)

Mr. Joseph Pirillo (Member, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2021)

Dr. Jung Mi Scoulas (Member, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2021)

Philip Shackelford (Member, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2021)

Michael Whitchurch (Member, July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2020)

Sara Goek (Staff Liaison, July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020)

Agenda:

- I. Roll call
 - a. Assign note taker
 - i. Amanda Folk will take notes
- II. Announcements
 - a. Last meeting for the fiscal year
 - b. Jill's last meeting as Chair (thank you so much, Jill!)
- III. Subcommittees updates – most of this is addressed in the annual report; not going through the list as usual – given on an ad hoc basis
 - a. VAL travel scholarships
 - b. Special issue of *College & Research Libraries*
 - c. Library impact grants
 - i. One-year extension for current cohort; not all are taking the extension
 - d. EDI
 - e. VAL resource oversight
 - f. Data Analytics/Privacy
 - i. Late push – haven't completely finished up the Toolkit, but it's starting to wrap up and is in great shape
 - g. Libraries Transform Toolkit oversight
- IV. Assessment in Action presenter coordinator selection committee update
 - a. The committee has been formed
 - i. Beate Gersch will lead
 - ii. Other VAL members include: Jill Becker, Amanda Folk, Becky Croxton, Shane Wallace
 - iii. Non-voting, ex officio Board – Emily Daly
 - iv. Roadshow members – Eric Resnis and Deb Gilchrist
 - b. Two applications to review
 - c. Selection and appointment will happen by July 15
- V. FY2020 draft Final Report (review)
 - a. Took a couple of minutes for colleagues to review
 - b. Becky and Amanda will be doing the orientation/refresher again this year, because this seemed to be helpful
 - c. Our ALA Connect space is a little bit messy – we might want to think about this for the next year (will make it easier to pass along information over the years)
 - d. Jill has received some other comments from subcommittee leaders recently and she'll make sure that these are incorporated into the final report
 - e. The Google spreadsheet was helpful to organize thoughts and updates and prepare for meetings
 - f. Jill will proofread and update then pass it off to Amanda and Becky to complete the work plan portion
- VI. FY2021 draft Work Plan (review and brainstorming)

- a. Library Impact Grants – not awarding more, but the last round was given a one-year extension so a subcommittee will be needed to help get those wrapped up (including reporting)
- b. EDI&SJ – will likely grow and continue
 - i. Amanda gave an update and shared the form that had been used (LINK) – folks can choose from these questions (not expected to fill out all of them)
 - ii. Megan mentioned how these can be difficult – balancing being brief and thoughtful
 - iii. Maybe be explicit about picking two or three
 - iv. Sara – someone on the committee could do a short recorded interview and then a committee member could do a write up and share with the interviewee prior to submission (might feel a little less overwhelming in terms of the time commitment)
 - v. Becky – tackling something like Emily and her team did at Duke – a deeper dive into the needs and experiences of students of color; Megan – Jackie, Maggie, and Ebony’s critical assessment work;
 - vi. Amanda - maybe some kind of survey of the literature about race/whiteness in academic libraries (folks generally seem supportive of this); Sara – think bigger than the committee (other committees who should be involved, other colleagues who should be involved with this)
 - vii. Megan – a lot that can be done to help academic libraries colleagues to promote what they’re doing in the library – the first stop for our non-library colleagues doing EDI&SJ work is often not the library, writing a report takes a really long time – we should think beyond a report to more practical formats/genres (e.g. webinars). BOTTOM LINE: We want to be able to learn from each other in this activity area!
- c. VAL resource oversight – hard to keep track of all the things we are responsible for
 - i. Extended revisions to the VAL statement (in addition to the recent revisions) – not yet approved by the Board, but it’s in process of being official
 - ii. Libraries Transform toolkit – we just don’t want to forget about it, though it doesn’t require a lot of effort until someone tells us it does
 - iii. We will get back in touch with David and Chase from ACRL to talk more about this – they were going to be reviewing and recommending
- d. Data analytics and privacy
 - i. Seems like it could be a resource that could continue to grow as this evolves
 - ii. Equity and social justice should be addressed here
 - iii. Potential webinars about this (especially with EDI&SJ)
 - iv. Webinars about colleagues’ initial forays into learning analytics at their institutions – how do you get started? What are the foundations pieces? Bridge available to all of the resources that are available – so much literature can be disorienting – help our colleagues to get a handle on the big picture
 - v. Becky is working with a team to cohost a three-part series – Inside the Data Warehouse – for LLAMA; how to get started, why libraries are thinking about this, ethics and privacy
- e. Highlight the work of our grant recipients
 - i. We had proposed to use the VAL Forum at ALA Annual 2020 to do a panel of grant winners to talk about how the grant helped to advance their research (was also intended to evaluate the impact of these grants)

- ii. Does the committee have a hold on a slot at ACRL 2021? If we put it on the plan, can we get one? Sara will find out. Amanda and Jill can ask at the Board meeting immediately after the meeting.
 - 1. If we are able to do this related to EDI&SJ (panel at ACRL 2021), could we get funding to compensate colleagues of color to participate.
- iii. Highlighting other venues for impact of funding, like social media, C&RL, etc.?
- f. Shift to online and continuing to do this work over the next year
 - i. A lot of workflows have been disrupted; being equally impactful during uncertain times – a lot of us are experiencing this right now – could we provide something that would be helpful
 - ii. Reviewing the results of ACRL COVID-19 survey to identify some opportunities
 - iii. New or different methods of gathering data (e.g. everyone using EZProxy to get in to resources all the time, because we are not on campus) – recalibration

VII. Adjourn

Future VAL Committee meetings:

- **New Member Orientation/Returning Member Refresher**
July 15, 2020, 2:00-3:00pm EDT (60 minutes)
Zoom Meeting URL (pending)
- July 24, 2020, 2:00-3:00pm EDT (60 minutes)
Zoom Meeting URL (pending)

Other Documents:

- VAL Projects & Subcommittees Membership and Reporting 2019-2020
<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19P7S42K07xz5htpkRBsM7vql84iQOiFuHEYj0KxbFTM/edit#gid=0>

Chapters Council

Annual Meeting
Held Virtually
06.29.2020

Present:

Officers & Chapters Council Administrators
Lisa Nickel, Chair (Virginia)
Ali Larsen, Vice Chair (New York)
Jessica Brangiel, Secretary (Delaware Valley)
Leslin Charles, Chapter Topics Editor (New Jersey)
Kim Copenhagen, Legislative Assembly Liaison (Florida)
Edward Kownslar, List Administrator (Texas)

Attendees:

Candice Benjes-Small, Virginia
Linh Uong Georgia chapter (vice chair)
Terri Summey Kansas Chapter
Michelle Nielsen Ott, Illinois
Lee Wagner - Wisconsin
Lucy Bellamy Gnomon Library in Los Angeles
Toni Anaya, Nebraska
Kari Mofford - ACRL New England
Tina Mesa - Texas
Sandy Schiefer, Missouri
Cara Berg- ACRL-New Jersey
Alyssa Russo, New Mexico Chapter
Carrie Dunham-LaGree, Iowa
Amy Mars, Minnesota
Jessica Denke - ACRL Delaware Valley Chapter
Sofia Slutskey, Georgia Chapter
Will Martin, North Dakota/Manitoba chapter.
Lois Entner, Maryland Chapter
Marty Miller, ACRL Louisiana Chapter
Dawn Behrend : North Carolina
Lauren Carlton, Staff Liaison to Chapters from ACRL
Rachel Callison, SEI - Western Pa & West Virginia ACRL Chapter.
Kelleen Maluski, ACRL New Mexico Vice-Chair

Guests:

Kara Malenfont ACRL Interim Executive Director

Welcome--Lisa Nickels, Chair

Introduction of Executive Council members

Introduction of members/chapters

Elections/open positions

- Two open positions, Vice Chair & Secretary
 - Candidate for Vice Chair running unopposed - Dawn Berhand, Instruction and Outreach Librarian at Lenoir-Rhyne University, Chair North Carolina's state chapter of ACRL
- One voter per chapter, held via chat
 - Dawn elected as new Vice Chair for Chapters Council, her term will begin July 1, 2020
- Seeking candidate to fulfill the secretary position - reach out to Ali Larsen if interested
- Two open administrative positions - Legislative Network Coordinator and LibGuide Administrator

Report from Leslin Charles - Chapter topics newsletter

- Leslin has been editor for the Chapter topics newsletter for 3 years, under her guidance the newsletter was moved to a LibGuide format.
- Typically, the newsletter comes out twice a year, spring and fall - spring deadline is April 30th however it was postponed this year due to COVID-19.
- A new call will go out for contributions by the end of July. The delayed spring edition will become a summer edition published at the end of August
- Discussion about devoting the spring/summer edition to library responses to COVID-19 and/or to social justice issues -
- Guidelines for contributions:
<http://www.ala.org/acrl/aboutacrl/directoryofleadership/chapters/topics/contributortips>
- Deadline for fall contributions will be October 31

Update from Kara Malenfont - Interim ACRL Executive Director

- ACRL plans to post the position opening for the Executive Director position soon with a goal to fill the position by January 2021
- COVID-19 resulted in the cancellation of several face to face conference events -ALA Annual, Rare Books and Manuscripts Section of ACRL, ACRL Roadshows on hold right now.
- ALA/ACRL staff continue to work virtually

- [ACRL Together Wherever](#) held in June - phenomenal sessions. Kara would like to highlight the President's Programming - Shifting the Center - highest rated ACRL program ever
- Over 2700 registrations for Together Wherever and over 4600 folks logged in and watched
- Virtual format reached a much wider audience
- ACRL is seeing declines in memberships, decline in sales in publications and conference registrations due to COVID-19 - changes will be coming as a result
- ALA staff dealing with 28 days of furlough between 8/2020 and 8/2021. Staff may need extra time to meet needs but are still available and ready to help
- ALA reorganization - SCOE - final recommendations reported recently and the outcome is 2 new working groups have been charged.
 - Forward Together Working Group - reports coming in January
 - Fiscal Analysis Working Group
- 3 divisions have approved to unite ALCTS, LITA & LLAMA have formed [CORE](#) Leadership, Infrastructure, Futures
- [ASGCLA](#) in process of dissolving
- [RUSA](#) has formed a futures task force
- PLA and ACRL developed [survey](#) of community impact of COVID19 - results
- ALA sold headquarters building, ALA/ACRL staff have moved and are getting settled
- ALA recently approved Operating Agreement Working Group to determine how divisions work with ALA - ACRL following the work of this group
- <http://www.ala.org/aboutala/2020-division-annual-reports-ala-council>
- Midwinter 2021 still scheduled for Indianapolis but will be carefully considered if not safe to hold in person - this is the last regular midwinter meeting. In the future Midwinter will focus more on content and less on business meetings. Space won't be allocated for business meetings at the onsite conference.

Lauren Carlton--ACRL Program Coordinator

- Please send roster updates to Lauren. New chapter board members. Thank you to those who have already sent them in.
<http://www.ala.org/acrl/aboutacrl/directoryofleadership/chapters/roster>
- Link to [chapter websites via map](#) - please alert Lauren if any information needs updating
- Chapters get 2 free webcasts per year - [ACRL E-Learning](#) - if interested in hosting contact [Margo Conahan](#) and cc [Lauren](#).
- Reimbursement for chapter requests are due August 15th - these funds don't roll over year to year - <http://www.ala.org/acrl/resources/policies/chapter5#5four>
- Annual reporting - Plan for Excellence report - links to survey have gone out to chapters.

Kim Copenhaver Legislative Update

- ACRL Legislative Agenda for 2020 year
- Resources available to chapters and work being done in states for messaging to align with work being done at national level
- <http://www.ala.org/acrl/issues/acrlspeaksout>

- ACRL Legislative Network Liaison works with the [ACRL Government Relations Committee](#)
- Issues discussed and decided upon for publication in the annual agenda which is published in mid-April
- The [four issues](#) chosen this year include federal funding for libraries, net neutrality, college textbook affordability act, and consumer data privacy and 3 were placed on a watch list: public access to federally funded research, the Federal Depository Library program Modernization Act and deferred action for childhood arrivals and immigration issues
- This position in Chapters Council is open, Kim is happy to answer questions about the role and the time commitment if anyone is interested.

Chapter updates on programs, events, and upcoming events

- Updates from Alyssa Ruso, New Mexico
 - Blog - [Covid19 response programming](#)
- Update from Cara Berg, New Jersey
 - NJ ACRL moved their face to face spring conference online and accommodated all submitted presentations for a virtual conference
- Rachel Callison, Western Pa & West Virginia ACRL Chapter
 - Face to face conference moved [online](#) to accommodate keynote and accepted presentations
 - Extended membership terms to accommodate COVID-19 challenges
- Candace Benjes-Small - Virginia
 - [Spring workshop moved online](#) - Delay, Distract, Defer: The Saboteur in the Academic Library
- Toni Anaya, Nebraska - <https://unl.libguides.com/CUSpring2020>
 - Hosted online spring meeting (2nd year for this format)
- Ali Larsen
 - call for ideas for future Chapters Council ideas to discuss, what are folks interested in working on?

Transition from Lisa to Ali. Welcome, Ali Larsen new Chair of Chapters Council. Thank you to Lisa or all of her service as Vice Chair and Chair. She moves to Past Chair.

ACRL/ALA/ARL IPEDS Advisory Task Force

Dugan's Notes

Task Force Meeting on April 2, 2020

Revised: 2020 April 23

Robert E. Dugan, Co-Chair

Erik Mitche, Co-Chair

Present:

Kevin Borden, kborden@arl.org

Mary Jane Petrowski, mpetrowski@ala.org

Bob Dugan, co-chair, robert.dugan@gmail.com

Erik Mitchell, co-chair, etm@ucsd.edu

Cindy Steinhoff, cksteinhoff@aacc.edu

Jeff Kosokoff, jeff.kosokoff@duke.edu

Peggy Seiden, pseiden1@swarthmore.edu

Kenneth J. Peterson, kenneth.j.peterson@dartmouth.edu

Matthew Shaw, mcshaw2@bsu.edu

Tabby Pursley, tabathap@ufl.edu

1. Dugan opened the conference call at about 10:03 CT
2. Dugan shared his screen and displayed two documents sent to Task Force members the day before: the screen shots and instructions for the 20-21 survey from Chris Cody, and his document with proposed revisions to the instructions and new questions for the FAQ about the adoption of COUNTER 5 into the instructions.
3. Discussion centered around this paragraph from page 11 of the IPEDS instructions document:

Digital/Electronic Serials – Report the number of e-serial titles that are accessible through the library's catalog or discovery system.

Several TF members raised the point that not all libraries use either the library's catalog or discovery system for e-serials availability, instead using the interfaces provided by the vendors into the e-serials content. The number of e-serial titles are reported as a estimate by compiling the number of titles reported by the vendors, guessed or otherwise estimated by the library, or may even be reported as zero based upon the instructions appearing above. It was strongly and widely suggested by Task Force members that we revisit this the definition to consider broadening the means of counting e-serials.

4. After a brief discussion, it was determined that the date for submitting the final instructions for COUNTER from the Task Force to IPEDS would be May 22. Dugan asked that Task Force members share his document with anyone who may be able to review, evaluate and

offer suggestions as to improving the instructions he drafted. Mary Jane Petrowski will also ask the ACRL Trends and Statistics Editorial Board to review them as well.

5. Task Force members also talked about how the library's data is submitted to IPEDS. There seems to be a mixture of the library's having keyholder that submits the data which is then locked by Institutional Research, others who are not keyholders that submit the data to Institutional Research for upload, while other libraries may not submit any data and are dependent upon Institutional Research to provide the data. Mary Jane Petrowski will ask Chris Cody about the data of who submits because it is asked on the IPEDS survey.
6. We moved on to the Staffing Review working group. Dugan had sent the members documents related to their work to review the instructions for the new questions. Working group members unanimously requested that Adrian Ho lead this specific effort to review the instructions related to the questions and suggest edits or revisions. It was also determined that this review should be completed in July and Dugan recommended July 1.
7. Next was the Shared Collections working group. Peggy Seiden volunteered to lead this working group. This group is responsible for drafting the survey questions as well as the accompanying instructions. Their work should be completed by Thanksgiving. That date may have to be moved up depending upon the necessary review time needed by Chris Cody. Mary Jane Petrowski stated that there was a related question about shared collections as a trend question in the annual ACRL survey a couple of years ago, and the data and questions asked about that specific trend question may be helpful for this working group.
8. Dugan mentioned that the Steering Committee will need to convene in May to discuss progress to date and to schedule the next Task Force meeting.

With thanks, the Task Force concluded its conference call at about 53 minutes past the hour.