**Minutes**

Chief Collection Development Officers of Large Research Libraries Interest Group

ALA annual meeting, Chicago

Saturday June 24, 2017

8:30 – 11:30am

Adams Room, Palmer House Hotel

William E. Baxter, Recorder

8:30 Review of Agenda & Approval of Minutes

* The agenda and minutes were approved without changes.

8:40 Introductions & new Chair elect

* Members of the group introduced themselves and a copy of the roster was circulated to collect any updated information.
* Denise Pan (University of Washington) was elected as CCDO chair-elect for 2017-2018 and will become chair at the close of the 2018 meeting in New Orleans.
* Bill Baxter becomes chair at the close of this meeting.

8:55 Discussion of first virtual meeting (Bill Baxter)

* Bill discussed options for the coming Mid-winter meeting of the CCDO interest group. The meeting will be a virtual meeting of about an hour’s duration either a week before or a week after Mid-winter (Mid-winter is February 9-13, 2018, in Denver, Colorado). Among the topics of interest are bibliometrics, continuing discussions of open-access and pay-it-forward, &c. Denise Pan, Karla Strieb, Greg Raschke, and Jennifer Roper, volunteered to work on the program with Bill. Others are welcome to join the group.

9:15 Update from CRL’s Shared Print Agenda (James Simon)

* James Simon from CRL updated the group on CRL’s agenda for shared print, 2017-2026.
* Evidence suggests that the vast, encyclopedic print serials collections maintained by North American libraries is at risk, fostered by many pressures including efforts to reduce print holdings.
* CRL wants to substantially expand the scope and improve the quality of shared collections; promote a CRL consensus on the scope, norms, and standards of print stewardship; forge and formalize new partnerships to achieve greater scale; articulate and promote a clear and convincing narrative for scholars and funders.
* CRL will test the waters of “sea change” through various means; including a member survey.
* Simon presented preliminary findings of a member survey on the value of various types of disclosure, collections access, and copy redundancy when making library decisions on print retention.

9:30 Follow-up from last meeting’s Issues in Collaborative Collection Building discussion (Daniel Dollar, Jeff Kosokoff, Galadriel Chilton). Google doc. resulting from the discussion in January at: <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1NMvlBHwyENzaXiaMk7Mo87f3_XR3uBDClNXBh23IKF4/edit?usp=sharing>

9:45 Break

10:00 Presentations & Discussion: Issues in OA (lead by: Karla Strieb, Charles Watkinson, and Bryan Skib). Please read the AAU/ARL/AAUP digital monograph initiative overview provided with this agenda.

* Journal APC’s – Karla Strieb led the discussion of Journal APC’s. She presented data OSU libraries funding, partnerships and paying it forward; and offered thanks to participants. She discussed the results of the survey and then discussed team’s and partners’ roles; findings (author studies, models, and conclusions); strategies (multi-payer model); today’s publisher strategy (multi-payer model); and what we can do (we don’t lead from the materials budget).
* Monograph BPC’s – Charles Watkinson led the discussion on Monograph BPC’s. He presented data from the University of Michigan about book processing charges, open access monograph publishing initiative, thoughts about the role of libraries in this initiative, and further reading and resources. He further discussed open access monograph publishing; ways librarians can be “at the table.”
* Small group discussion – The members at the table broke into small groups to discuss the following three questions: 1: How has your library participated (or is considering participating) in any local programs to fund APC’s and/or BCP’s? If you are not a campus library, what role do you see your organization playing in this landscape? 2: How is your library working to effect the rates of APC’s (articles) or BCP’s (monograph subventions) that publishers charge? 3: How do you approach moving experimental funding of an OA initiative to becoming a continuing activity for your library?

11:15 Open Discussion / Business arising

* There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:30.